

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K1	Bournemouth Town	E. Didn't we just amalgamate Bournemouth into BCP. Wasn't that supposed to introduce efficiencies and cost savings? Instead we have a proposal to introduce vast amounts of extra costs to add a layer of gravy train for the council. How long before the leader demands several hundred thousand a year to run this new council. This is the appearance of democracy but really just adding more bureaucracy.		Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K2	Bournemouth Town	Please see my comments regarding Southbourne. Further to this I note that the elector to councillor ratio for the Bournemouth area is very high, especially when compared to that of, for instance, Hurn. There is a huge disparity, far more than the +- 10% recommended for local council areas. this means that the areas with preferential ratios already have unfair representation on the council.		Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
K3	Bournemouth Town	(b) A Queen's Park parish council should be considered separately from Bournemouth town council. similar to the Redhill and Northbourne proposal. This could also include the Littledown area under a 'Queens Park & Littledown parish council'.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K4	Bournemouth Town	(f) the opportunity should be taken to rename Queens Park to Queens Park and Charminster as they are areas of distinct difference and Charminster gets left behind all of the time. any representation should ensure that there is always one councillor minimum, resident and understanding of the different challenge of Charminster.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
K5	Bournemouth Town	a	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K6	Bournemouth Town	A - h I strongly agree because it provides focus to the various areas of Bournemouth. By have local councillors they can support ward initiatives to improve Bournemouth overall.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K7	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) I feel that minor civic amenities like public markets, playgrounds, public gardens, and public toilets are being left by in disrepair as BCP Council are forced to divert a larger and larger share of funding to statutory services like adult social care. Giving control of non-statutory public amenities and services like street sweeping over to a Town Council would free up funding for BCP council to spend on more pressing issues. It would also cut down on the bureaucracy involved with minor public works, as a town council's civil service is much smaller than that of a unitary authority.</p> <p>b) Having lived in Winton and spent time in Kinson, I feel that they have a separate civic identity and may benefit more from having their own civil parishes.</p> <p>c) I can't think of another fitting name for the civil parish other than Bournemouth.</p> <p>d & e) I do not think the style "Parish Council" fits large urban areas, so I feel "Town Council" is more appropriate.</p> <p>f) Since civil parishes can't use the style "Borough", I think Bournemouth Town Council is the most fitting name.</p> <p>g, h, i) I do not have any comments about ward boundaries or the number of councillors.</p>	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K8	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) I believe that a parish of Bournemouth will allow for more flexibility regarding decisions that affect the local community.</p> <p>b,f) The boundaries and names suggested make sense.</p> <p>d) A parish council in the form of a town council, will provide the necessary organisation.</p>	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH2 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K9	Bournemouth Town	a. Bournemouth Town must be able to make its own council policy and not be overridden by remote regions of the conurbation.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K10	Bournemouth Town	Agree with residents	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K11	Bournemouth Town	BCP council is corrupt, incompetent and broken. More powers should be delegated closer to residents. CEO position should be open to public scrutiny and powers to fire the CEO should be given to residents.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K12	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth Town Centre has gone drastically downhill in recent years. We need a body focused solely on reviving it.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K13	Bournemouth Town	g and h: Does each ward need that many councillors? That sound like a lot and more expensive than having fewer people. It is also more difficult to come decisions as Bournemouth Town Council if 38 councillors are involved.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K14	Bournemouth Town	g) The areas of Bournemouth Central East, Cliff & Springbourne and Westbourne & West Cliff should be in their own parish council. As these areas have the beach. And have different issues than the areas inland of Bournemouth .	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 5
K15	Bournemouth Town	I agree that local government in such a large area should be broken down into smaller areas. Although it does feel as if the area represented by Bournemouth Town is very diverse socioeconomically. Westbourne, for example, is a lot wealthier than Springbourne.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K16	Bournemouth Town	I feel there is a need for local representation for residents in the proposed Bournemouth Town Council area, more directly accountable to residents than the Trustees.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K17	Bournemouth Town	I think devolving power to a more local level is a good thing	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH2 6
K18	Bournemouth Town	I would be happy for there to be a Bournemouth Town Council	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K19	Bournemouth Town	If the suggestion below is taken up, then new boundary needs to be drawn.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
K20	Bournemouth Town	Merge with Redhill and Boscombe, halve the number of councillors	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
K21	Bournemouth Town	None	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
K22	Bournemouth Town	Quite simply, local government needs to be brought closer to local residents, that is, Bournemouth residents influencing and promoting issues, and addressing concerns pertaining to their locality.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K23	Bournemouth Town	Re 'g' and 'h' and numbers of councillors, I fail to see or understand how this is fair representation and strongly feel that more efforts should be made to explain how these numbers have been arrived upon. Bournemouth Council having over 2,700 people per councillor and Throop and Holdenhurst for example having only 35! Throop and Holdenhurst having 7 councillors and Littledown and Iford, despite it's size and population having only 3! This being 6.4% under average. As a resident of Iford, I therefore strongly feel we are under represented on the council and that the proposed Bournemouth Town Council does not fairly represent its electorate. Especially given the congestion we suffer every day in the RBH area and the continued and constantly increasing use by every BCP resident, with the ever expanding RBH site and centralisation of departments at RBH. Our voice should be stronger.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
K24	Bournemouth Town	Same as previously stated, you have already amalgamated the whole of Dorset council's we don't need or want a massive increase in the our council tax, get it through your heads normal people don't earn the sort of money you lot pay yourselves	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
K25	Bournemouth Town	Since the council became BCP, it has felt that Bournemouth itself has lost a lot of detailed focus or attention- with money from our council tax diverted to non Bournemouth areas. We need to have oversight of our local area as it has become dirty and unkempt. Not the Bournemouth we used to enjoy. It also deters visitors due to lack of good shopping in the town. Unbelievable given the footfall and good hotels and restaurants.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K26	Bournemouth Town	the idea is strong but there are too many councillors there should be less to save costs and make it easier to fill vacancies	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K27	Bournemouth Town	The town parish is a non-sense if it has large exclusion areas, and instead should focus on the core town centre eg Town Centre Area Action Plan area with parish councils for the rump wards.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K28	Bournemouth Town	Too many councillors	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
K29	Bournemouth Town	Too many councillors, reduce. Include Redhill and Northbourne in, or have North Bournemouth and South Bournemouth PC's	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K30	Bournemouth Town	(a) (c) (e) and (J) There appears to be no benefit from establishing another layer of government. At a time when so many seem to be struggling with finances, both government and families, there seems no benefit in having another layer of bureaucracy where we have managed without until now.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K31	Bournemouth Town	<p>(a) (f)</p> <p>The current arrangements should remain in place.</p> <p>The formation of Parish/Town Councils should be a bottom up not top down process – led by local communities coming together as illustrated with reference to the already established Parish Councils as well as other community groups ie</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Throop and Holdenhurst Village Council • Neighbourhood plans – ie ‘Boscombe and Pokesdown Neighbourhood Forum’ • Throop and Muscliff Neighbours Network • TMSTH – Throop, Muscliff, Strouden, Townsend, Holdenhurst Area Forum – for members (all residents in these areas) to make their views heard. <p>This is led from the ground up with communities/areas coming together of their own volition feeling empowered deciding what they want for their area and feeling invested in the process.</p> <p>This will better reflect what residents in the various areas want (from their understanding of their distinct characteristics, priorities and needs for their area) enabling them to define what they consider to be the identified boundaries of their community as well as identifying the issues, priorities and needs specific to their areas.</p> <p>Communities are also represented by their elected Councillors so a further level of governance with different boundaries would result in confusion.</p> <p>(b) (d) (f)</p> <p>What communities want will vary across the whole area so amalgamating a range of diverse sub areas with differing needs and communities (for example ranging from: the town centre itself; the urban centres of Winton, Moordown, Charminster; the suburban areas of Meyrick Park, Dean Park and Queens Park; the out lying areas of Muscliff and Strouden Park and the coastal areas of East and West Cliff) into one ‘Town Council’ area cannot logically represent the best interests of those living in these areas.</p> <p>A top down approach with pre-determined areas and boundaries which do not relate or reflect the actual community needs of the various sub areas across the town is not the correct way to form parishes. This process should not be engineered – it should happen organically.</p> <p>(c)(e)</p> <p>Naming the various areas as ‘Bournemouth Town Council’ does not respect the difference of the various areas that would fall within it (see b and f above). The name is not very transparent as to which areas it would cover. Compare with the name of Throop and Holdenhurst Parish Council – which is clearly identifiable in its geographical location.</p> <p>(g)</p> <p>As this process is not community led the community are less likely to engage and there could be the scenario that there are no or an insufficient number of councillors.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K32	Bournemouth Town	(a) I was a Parish Councillor for 25 years in another area and am in favour of Parish and Town Councils but I do not think this proposal makes any sense. The area is far too big and the Council will be unmanageable. People need to feel as though their Parish/Town Council represents them and supports their local needs. This won't be possible with such a large parish.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K33	Bournemouth Town	(a) No submissions for a Bournemouth Town Council were received and it therefore seems ridiculous that a proposal for a Bournemouth Town Council should progress. The current Charter Trustees for Bournemouth should remain for the areas not covered by any Town Council proposals. This appears to be a stealth way to increase local taxation on residents, increasing levels of bureaucracy for no material benefit and is therefore something I disagree with. I strongly disagree with any change and would strongly prefer for the Charter Trustees to remain for the area of East Cliff & Springbourne.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K34	Bournemouth Town	(a) Please see comments on the wider issues (Bournemouth, Poole) re benefits of co-ordination, consistency and liaison across the conurbation plus the efficiency gains (to council(s) and residents) from having a single body address issues.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K35	Bournemouth Town	(a) to (h) I strongly disagree with ALL recommendations. This would introduce another layer of government which is unnecessary. The existing ward system already ensures each area within BCP is well represented by local councillors. I cannot see creating a town council with further elected individuals will add anything at all. Also this proposal will add to an already high council tax liability. The estimated one percent initial cost will inevitably increase in future years - you only have to look at how the Police and Crime Commissioner precept has increased over the years with little or no benefit to council tax payers.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K36	Bournemouth Town	<p>‘A’, ‘B’, ‘C’, ‘D’, ‘E’, ‘F’.</p> <p>The Task & Finish Group, while actively recommending the establishment of 3 new Parishes in a third of Bournemouth, is consulting and recommending that the remaining two-thirds of Bournemouth should have a Town Council, named Bournemouth Town Council, which is both absurd and confusing.</p> <p>‘G’</p> <p>Conflict of Interest</p> <p>It should specify that BCP Ward Councillors are not eligible to stand as a parish / town councillor as this gives rise to an obvious conflict of interest, particularly if that individual is a member of BCP Council Cabinet. This ruling needs to apply (also retrospectively) to ALL parishes for the reasons stated above.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K37	Bournemouth Town	<p>38 town councillors is insanity and a preposterous number of members for any small authority to manage. The costs of onboarding and training those members alone is a demonstrable waste of public money. This is a criminal amount of over-representation when taken with BCP members as well. For example, the Queens Park ward would have 6 councillors. Completely unhinged idea. Christchurch Town Council returned unopposed in 2019 and was unable to find enough candidates to fill all 19 seats. You'll never get 38 vacancies filled on Bournemouth Town Council much less in a contested election. Whoever came up with this idea clearly hasn't got a clue about how hard it is to get engagement at the town and parish level. That is an unprecedented number of councillors for a town council.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
K38	Bournemouth Town	<p>a - A parish council for this area is not welcome and I believe totally unnecessary offering little benefit to its residents it's an extra layer creating more complications we don't need more councillors I believe we have enough and I want to see our local government stay as it is - Parish free</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
K39	Bournemouth Town	<p>a - h This is completely unnecessary and we should stick with the status quo. It will only cost the residents more in council tax with no benefits.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K40	Bournemouth Town	A - I do not agree with the creation of a parish council for Bournemouth town. Under the original local government reorganisation process and the creation of BCP, more needs to be done to unite the three towns rather than divide and separate these communities. The three towns all have unique identities which should be celebrated but I do not think we need to create separate town councils to do this and will cost rather than save money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K41	Bournemouth Town	A - Parish councils are designed for villages not simply dividing up a larger town	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K42	Bournemouth Town	a - There is a council covering Bournemouth at the moment. To have another layer of local government will cost a lot more to the local residents and involve more time consuming meetings.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K43	Bournemouth Town	A and C. Bournemouth does not need a Parish council. This would be more levels of bureaucracy for residents to wade through to understand and process. There would be greater expenditure for residents, which I believe would be uncapped, and would result in BCP Councils passing more and more “tasks” to the PCs to deal with.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K44	Bournemouth Town	a' No interest in having more levels of bureaucracy in the area. More cost and more arguing. I thought the whole idea of having one BCP council was to streamline services and reduce costs.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K45	Bournemouth Town	a plans will add confusion over which council does which job. the presnt services should be improved by bcp.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K46	Bournemouth Town	a to d - A complete waste of money, there is no connection between Winton and Bournemouth Town Centre, Eastcliff or Littledown etc.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 2
K47	Bournemouth Town	A to h .we don't need or want it but then again bcp council does as it wants we can't afford another council tax rise who is going to pay for this	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
K48	Bournemouth Town	<p>A) A parish of Bournemouth should not include Queen's Park & Charminster as designated in the Queen's Park & Charminster Neighbourhood Plan area as consulted.</p> <p>B) The boundary of the parish should not include the previously designated Neighbourhood Plan area of Charminster & Queen's Park</p> <p>C) A parish by the name of Bournemouth should not be designated on the basis of a single response to the first consultation due to insufficient weight and support of the enclosed communities.</p> <p>D) Given the insufficient response of the initial consultation, the parish should not have a parish council in the style of town council</p> <p>E) Given the insufficient response of the initial consultation, the name of the town council should not be Bournemouth Town Council</p> <p>F) The areas designated within the consulted boundaries of the Queen's Park & Charminster Neighbourhood Plan should not be included within the insufficiently consulted Bournemouth Town Council.</p> <p>G) If the Bournemouth Town Council proposal is accepted, it should deduct the weighted amount of councillors based on the absence of the QP&C Neighbourhood Forum area.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K49	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) Again why are we doing this. We now will have a situation where a few neighbourhoods will be ridiculously overrepresented while the rest of Bournemouth and Poole have no low level representation.</p> <p>I imagine most residents don't actually care either way but if we are separating individual parts of Bournemouth into their own parishes why not split up the whole thing?</p> <p>I disagree with the creation of any parish councils proposed as the parish council responsibilities will be negligible and should be handled by the bcp council</p> <p>But if the plan is to have Redhill and nearby areas as a parish why isn't Kinson a separate parish too.</p> <p>Retaining Poole and Bournemouth as individual massive parishes seems to not be in the spirit of having parishes in the first place.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
K50	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
K51	Bournemouth Town	<p>A) Disagree. Why should people pay an additional precept for another level of decision making. We elected BCP council to represent us on these matters. We don't need to pay more for another layer.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K52	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) I do not agree that we need another layer of bureaucracy in the system. I have therefore answered "strongly disagree" to question a). It therefore follows that I don't support any of the statements b) to h) so I have indicated "strongly disagree" to all. My reasoning is simple - keep the structure simple and make it effective. Under your proposals, it will be extremely confusing who is responsible for which service. This will vary from area to area depending on the capacity of new Town Councils to take on responsibility for services beyond the statutory functions.</p> <p>Continue to work on BCP as a joined-up organisation, consider why BCP was brought together in the first place. Make the BCP Council effective before you consider further changes. If you can't deliver all services, be honest with your electorate and say what is that you can't do and why.</p> <p>The size of the proposed Bournemouth town council is huge and, although the areas are different, this feels like a backward step in time to before BCP was created. I don't think a town council at the proposed size of Bournemouth is going to be an effective solution. I think the present system can provide adequate representation of ward interests through existing ward councillors. And there are already community groups like the residents' associations that represent local interests.</p> <p>Plus there is likely to be an additional cost for residents and I think you have a duty to consider this. I know that your proposal says that it shouldn't be a consideration but to hard-pressed residents at a time of a cost of living crisis I think this should also be a consideration. A town council precept wouldn't be capped unlike increases to main council tax so a precedent is set.</p> <p>Also, from where do you get all these additional councillors? If existing councillors sat on town councils as well I can see conflicts of interest at every point. In a society where many voluntary organisations are closing due to lack of volunteers, I see the recruitment of so many new councillors quite an issue.</p> <p>Please get the current council operating effectively and efficiently before you consider these changes. This is the priority for most residents and businesses in the area.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K53	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) I see no benefit in creating any new layers of government below BCP Council. I believe that the merger of the three councils (Bournemouth Poole Christchurch) has led to economies of scale which enable the efficient and cost-effective provision of services. To introduce additional local layers of service provision would not be an improvement as the fragmentation of control would lead to less efficiency and higher costs. Further, I find it convenient to have a single point of contact when dealing with 'the council'. I would find it more difficult to make contact with the appropriate service provider and would feel less able to hold them to account if service provision was split across BCP council and a local council.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K54	Bournemouth Town	a) strongly disagree as don't want more layers of bureaucracy and associated costs b-g) not applicable	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K55	Bournemouth Town	a) The creation of Parish Councils within BCP Council is an unnecessary and pointless exercise that the vast majority of residents will not care about as will be proved by the turnout in any elections should the idea go ahead. In some of the proposed parishes there will be one Councillor for every 100 residents whilst in other the ration would be over a 1000 per Councillor, clearly this is ludicrous. All resident already have elected Councillors sitting on BCP Council who should be standing up for the areas they were elected by and BCP Council has far more money and influence than the Parish Councils will have. Due to the tight budgets within BCP Council proposals by Councillors to waste money on unnecessary projects that the vast majority of residents don't care about should normally fail and not be proceeded with, but in a Parish Council such projects may be proceeded with which will add to residents bills without providing any services residents would choose if they were told how much they cost. The whole idea of Bournemouth and Poole Borough Councils becoming Unitary Authorities was to reduce the tiers to one. The creation of BCP Council was to further reduce costs through economies of scale in a single Unitary Authority. The creation of a new second tier goes against the whole point of creating BCP Council. The proposal should be about scrapping the current pointless Parish Councils within Christchurch whose financial decisions are not properly audited, rather then creating these pointless money wasting additional tiers throughout BCP Council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K56	Bournemouth Town	A) There is no demand for this. It adds unnecessary cost to the local area. b) This is a disaster formulation, with carve outs all over the Bournemouth area. Why would the council put Kinson, Winton and town centre but not Redhill, Boscombe, Southbourne and Talbot Woods.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K57	Bournemouth Town	a) This is a clearly unnecessary additional layer of government. Responsibilities are now clearly with the BCP Council but under the proposals it will be entirely unclear where these responsibilities lie and there will be endless opportunity for "buck passing" between BCP and the town council. In addition there will obviously be additional costs associated with the new councils which can only be passed on to tax payers.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K58	Bournemouth Town	a) to g) There is no need to create more bureaucracy. The present arrangements are satisfactory. The creation of a town council will create more expenditure e.g. salaried staff, expenses for the councillors, costs of running elections etc. which will be collected using this idea of a precept; that is merely a fancy word for additional rates or council tax.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K59	Bournemouth Town	a) to h) (all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K60	Bournemouth Town	a) Unnecessary extra layer of government with added bureaucracy and talking shops for 38 more councillors, and confused unclear division of responsibilities with the main BCP council. That was the point of having unitary councils in the first place. This proposed council is for the rump of Bournemouth not already burdened with a parish council, with little common interest between the various areas, so councillors will spend their time disputing whether Kinson or Westbourne or Littledown will benefit from their attention. There's is enough representation at BCP already, leave it as is. b) to h) - no further comments, see a)	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K61	Bournemouth Town	a) We don't need yet another layer of bureaucracy, which will cost local taxpayers more (via a parish precept) to fulfil the same requirements that BCP has responsibility for. The thought of 'turkey' voting for christmas [unknown] the mind.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K62	Bournemouth Town	a),b,c,d,e,f,g) I strongly disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town and the other recommendations. The town already has elected council and there is no reason to establish a further layer of bureaucracy which will only increase our council tax. Our elected councillors should serve the area they are elected from and I have no issues with my current councillors. Added councillors will only confuse issues especially if they are only elected for certain responsibilities with constituents having to go to different councillors for different issues.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K63	Bournemouth Town	a, b c d e f g h Bournemouth, (and Poole) already have an existing arrangement of local wards where items can concern can be raised by residents to their local elected representatives and this is established and adequate . there is no need for additional bureaucracy and unknown expense.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
K64	Bournemouth Town	a, b, c, d, e, f, g - By both reducing the actual named area of Bournemouth by removing a whole ward from the proposed councils authority and reducing the status of the Town of Bournemouth to some overblown parochial Parish in an increasingly interconnected world, is an irresponsible act. h, i - There is no requirement for so many councillors per ward to 'monitor' a small number of services identified 'as such' than the number of councillors 'monitoring' the statutory services currently delivered by BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 9
K65	Bournemouth Town	a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j The proposed Bournemouth Town area is not cohesive. I strongly disagree with the concept, but if there were to be a Bournemouth Town Council it should be based on the boundary of the former Bournemouth Borough Council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K66	Bournemouth Town	<p>a, g) We already have an over representation of the public sector in the UK with an estimate of 6.1 million people working in or for government at some level.This is a preposterous statistic for a country as small as the UK.</p> <p>We already have the government, parliament, devolved parliaments, quangos, councils and now another council on top of the council. Such additional bureaucracy adds layers of complication as to who to go to for what issues and is surely going to be less efficient in resolving any arising issues as cross-body communication will be slow and erroneous. It would surely be better to keep council functions within a single council body.</p> <p>Councils are also struggling these days, it would surely be better to focus on the underlying issues rather than introduce new things that can potentially create a great deal of additional unforeseen problems.</p> <p>We have already gone from individual councils (bournemouth, christchurch, poole) to the BCP council in recent memory, creating one larger council - only to then have this proposal for another layer of smaller councils ontop! Make it make sense!</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
K67	Bournemouth Town	a, I strongly disagree with the proposal to change the existing arrangements which have only been in place since 2019 and introduce yet another costly tier of bureaucracy. Indeed even the cost of this consultation is beyond belief when BCP Council's financial situation is so precarious	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K68	Bournemouth Town	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h The proposals are totally unnecessary and duplicate the function of Wards and Ward Councillors	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH8 8
K69	Bournemouth Town	<p>a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h</p> <p>We have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K70	Bournemouth Town	a,d,f,g,h The overall issue is that the parish councils haven't been given enough control or decision making ability to be useful or effective. Either give them significant autonomous independent power or don't bother. This whole thing is such a waste of time for something so insignificant.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K71	Bournemouth Town	a,unnecessary idea associated with this proposal, what do you expect to achieve and what is the precise role of setting up a parish when the Council is in my opinion failing to maintain Bournemouth as a thriving and prosperous town to live in. I have lived and worked in Bournemouth for the past 15 years and have watched the slow decline of the area due to BCP not forgiving it's contract to the people living here on numerous levels.Businesses closing down, empty shops, vagrants habitating the area and crime on the rise.in my opinion creating another arm of the council is a bit far fetched and not worth the invest.why doesn't BCP concentrate on what it's there for and pull Bournemouth out of the gutter.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
K72	Bournemouth Town	A. Disagree...it seems to add an additional layer, adding cost and more importantly diluting the responsibility of any specific body to address issues (that are currently BCP council). There seems little value in the potential for a more local body to highlight issues in a specific area as the existing council should already be aware of the major ones (and in most cases would retain responsibility anyway).	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K73	Bournemouth Town	a. I am opposed to the proposal for creating any Parish Council in the BPC area, as I see it as an unnecessary additional level of decision making, when systems are already in place to adequately deal with all issues proposed to be controlled by the Parish Council. The additional costs to the already overcharged Council Tax payers of BCP will be another unjustified burden to contend with.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K74	Bournemouth Town	A. I do not agree to the creation of additional Town/Parish councils. This is due to the increased costs that Council Tax payers will inevitably have to pay. When BCP was created, the idea was to save costs and decrease the number of councillors overall. There are no detailed costings included with the proposals, however the introduction of Town/Parish councils so respondents have no accurate details on which to base our decisions. However there will be increased costs in the form of buildings, staff etc and like the PCC's these will quickly spiral out of control. If you want to give greater local accountability, reinstating the original Borough Councils and disbanding BCP would be preferable.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K75	Bournemouth Town	A. I do not believe springbourne should be part of a larger parish, we have our own identity and are in the process of setting up neighbourhood schemes. A parish as large as the one proposed would mean we lose our identity amongst bigger areas. Our council tax would rise and would not be beneficial to our area.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K76	Bournemouth Town	a. I do not see the benefit of this added layer of bureaucracy. There is no reason in my view why the present system shouldn't work effectively.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K77	Bournemouth Town	Absolute waste of time .If you cannot run BCP as a single unit, with its economies of scale. then you should not be in the job. There was no need to become BCP if you a to produce more civil servants and councillors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K78	Bournemouth Town	Again just another wasteful tactic to take more money from local residents who are having a hard enough time living in bournemouth anyway what with the homeless, no shops other than barbers the town centre is a drug cess pit bin that I won't let my family near the streets in awful condition and the council as it currently stands couldn't care less for the occupants of the bcp area only lining their pockets more. Can I suggest you all justify what you actually do and perhaps what you have done of success for the bourenmouth area while in OUR employ	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K79	Bournemouth Town	a-h: I do not consider introducing another layer of bureaucracy would be beneficial or cost-effective; the two-tier council arrangement of Bournemouth/Dorset was abolished many years ago	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH8 8
K80	Bournemouth Town	All - A total waste of money, we already have three representatives for our ward, why would you need extra. There is a danger that BCP council will offload responsibility and costs on parish councils, with no reduction in council tax bills. We shouldn't even be wasting money on consulting about this and why split off areas like Redhill? We are either a town or not. Only last year some councillors were lamenting that BCP hadn't been able to join a new Wessex Council. Try some consultations to save taxpayers money not risk spending more.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K81	Bournemouth Town	all - disagree with the proposals. Stay as Bournemouth Charter Trustees and stop messing with the tiers of local government adding in more levels, confusion and costs for local residents.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K82	Bournemouth Town	All 'a' to 'h' - I am wholly opposed to the proposals for the detailed reasons stated in my submission dated 9 June 2025.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K83	Bournemouth Town	All a waste of money	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K84	Bournemouth Town	All recommendations (a)-(h): I disagree with the basic principle of establishing a Bournemouth Town Council as outlined in this section of the consultation, and therefore disagree with every recommendation associated with it. I have seen no evidence to date that there is any particular appetite from residents for this town council to be formed at the present time. I think that these parts of Bournemouth should therefore remain unparished until such time as there is a demonstrable interest in the formation of a new council or councils in the area.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K85	Bournemouth Town	An un- necessary expence	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K86	Bournemouth Town	Another layer of bureaucracy which will cost council taxpayer more and achieve nothing. BCP Council need to stop damaging our town and wasting our money. This is an opportunity for BCP Council to pass the buck to a town council and the town council to then blame BCP. Not needed. Not wanted	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K87	Bournemouth Town	Any additional levels created will result in unnecessary expense and will likely not improve the current failings of the BCP Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K88	Bournemouth Town	<p>As a Wallisdown resident I do not see the need for a town council. I do not know anyone locally who has requested setting up a town council nor support the idea of having one.</p> <p>b) Wallisdown is too far away from the town centre to be linked with it - we have different needs in this area than the town centre and sea front. The whole proposed area is too big anyway to deliver a localised plan that suits the needs of these diverse areas. The boundary appears random and arbitrary.</p> <p>c) d) e) and f) We're Wallisdown not Bournemouth Town. We have our own identity which is different to the town centre and other places within the boundary. A town council will not suit any of us. The town centre will sack all the available resources and services for the whole proposed area and we will end up with a huge disparity across the area and within BCP as a whole.</p> <p>g) and h) we don't need any more councillors. 38 more voices is just a duplication and adds an unnecessary and costly layer of bureaucracy. Responsibilities will be duplicated and lines of accountability will be blurred. The delivery of services will be bogged down even further</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH11 8
K89	Bournemouth Town	<p>As I said in the southbourne comments, the idea of town/parish councils is not something I think is worth the effort. Assuming this opinion doesn't change anything, the map for this town council is wild. It's clearly just the left over parts not being put into another parish, and there is no internal cohesion between these areas. LI3, where I am really fits better into Southbourne, but the rest of LI doesn't fit into this map either. The Wallisdown & Kinson areas are just strapped on the side with a gap in there, and there are large areas in the middle with almost nothing in common with others (Queens park, for example is very wealthy, Muscliffe really not, the town centre largely students and Springbourne (where we have worked for 25 years with our church) can be a pretty transient population.</p> <p>This is clearly just lumped together to be able to get rid of the Town Charter Trustees, which I don't really care either way about but it's certainly not a cohesive proposal in any sense. The idea of this being the proposal, but it will be changed if some other part isn't implemented is also insufficiently defined.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K90	Bournemouth Town	B - Muscliff area has stronger potential joining Troop area E - what is the point in becoming a unitary authority, then forming 2 town councils, costing more money and of a size that would make it difficult to create a community and truly serve that area.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K91	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth is a much bigger area than that which has been segmented off. It does not bode well for the future of our once great thriving town to be treated like the poor relation to Christchurch and Poole.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K92	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth is part of the larger urban area of BCP. There is not enough difference between the various wards to warrant making the area a separate town council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
K93	Bournemouth Town	C Have ing another level will only cause confusion as to who will represent us . As shown at throop all another level of councillors will only lead to then polishing thier own egos. We have a council and they should rule.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K94	Bournemouth Town	Complete waste of money duplicating existing governance structure' and which appears to be a back door increase in council tax	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K95	Bournemouth Town	<p>Disagree with ALL, This is gerrymandering at it's worse, Why are you splitting of the various wards previously mentioned from Bournemouth? Why are you suggesting creating a Redhill council yet not one for Kinson which has a much more distinct and cohesive character and Northbourne is more aligned with Kinson then ever Redhill. Why some wards an extra council and then lump all the others together in Bournemouth this is inconsistent and clearly demonstrates an idea looking for an answer and not required.</p> <p>Additionally I believe with the governments current proposals on local government we should not be considering any further changes in local government until the governments decisions on merging councils has been completed and we should take a lead from central government. We have no need for additional councillors and by introducing an additional council we will lengthen the time made for decisions, increase costs and will not provide any material benefit.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K96	Bournemouth Town	<p>Disagree. We already have a council which should be taking care of all the needs of the three Burroughs. We do not a " broken bisc" with more smaller councils fighting for more services attention etc. A united front is better than an increased council with lesser powers. There will be so many voices to be heard that none will be heard and the declining standards in this borough will slide even further. We need strong, sincere and willing leaders who are able to manage the concerns of the local residents in each area and DO something about it. A further fragmented council will lead to less being done.and no one taking full responsibility but blaming it on someone or rather "something else" New council, just another bunch of names with no faces There are already plenty of residents within each area who could bump up the requirements for their area to the responsible person in the council. If the councillor is not up to the job then they should be replaced by someone who is. Political affiliation is not a necessity just sorting out the local problems and concerns is.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K97	Bournemouth Town	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K98	Bournemouth Town	Do not support - see my email	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K99	Bournemouth Town	Doesn't need a parish council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K100	Bournemouth Town	Don't. Think there should be another layer of money to be collected from the residents	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
K101	Bournemouth Town	<p>First of all - I'm horrified at the complexity of this survey and as someone who oversees a market research and insight function I'm shocked that you believe this is truly accessible to the broadest cross section of our community. I believe you will receive a biased response from those that can commit to navigate the complexity and nonsensical structure of the survey.</p> <p>And like the survey, this whole proposal feels unnecessarily complex and feels like it is adding layers of unnecessary bureaucracy rather than seeking to address better representation through the current model.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K102	Bournemouth Town	For answer 'a' through to 'e', I see no reason to establish another layer of local government for Bournemouth. Functions should remain with the Charter Trustees as required. This whole plan feels as if the proposal for Bournemouth Town is simply to group the rest of the town together, where there may not have been interest for a parish or town council. The name Bournemouth Town would suggest it covers the whole of Bournemouth, when it won't. The boundary seems odd as I currently elect BCP councillors in Talbot and Branksome ward, if the parish or town council is established, my ward is then split into two between Poole and Bournemouth town councils, which doesn't seem very joined up. Issues that could affect me nearby may then be being taken by a body that I am unable to vote for and the same the other way, which is why I thought BCP was formed, in order to have the local area together as one body for easier planning and execution of services. I object to paying even more money for a layer of local government when we currently have reduced service from BCP, yet the tax goes up every year. Relating to questions 'f', 'g' and 'h', it does not need 38 people, I would suggest no more than two councillors per ward if these proposals must go ahead.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K103	Bournemouth Town	g it should be a 38	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K104	Bournemouth Town	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of new parish or town councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K105	Bournemouth Town	Having gone through the merger of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole councils, I don't think we need the extra cost and bureaucracy of new Town/Parish Councils.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K106	Bournemouth Town	Having read all the documents, I fail to see what benefits these 38 Councillors will add to their existing outstanding contributions, we are BCP. This divide and confuse will not help get things done!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K107	Bournemouth Town	I am against this proposal because of the additional tier of local government and additional cost involved	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH2 6
K108	Bournemouth Town	I am commenting on all of the draft recommendations ("a", "b" "c", etc). These proposed changes would constitute an unnecessary extra layer of local bureaucracy, and the services provided by the proposed new layer should be provided by BCP Council instead.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K109	Bournemouth Town	I believe the creation of parish councils to be totally wrong and a waste of money. It will cost residents more and it is reinventing the wheel of councils before being BCP. It is an utter disgrace.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH2 5
K110	Bournemouth Town	I can see no rationale for moving away from the current local authority approach which was only established in 2019 on the basis of rationalisation of services, the proposals do not set out what the benefit to local residents will be of the changes and why they should be supported. More work needs to be done on why this is a good option if you want support.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K111	Bournemouth Town	I disagree with all of the above statements. This is unnecessary bureaucracy. Bournemouth Town would be far too big to have any "local" feel. The areas are disparate in terms of their amenities. The more picturesque parts would be made into new council areas. They would need to raise huge revenues to be able to tackle the areas that have been left to become rundown since the creation of BCP. The main council is in complete disarray. That needs to be sorted before any other "solutions" are proposed? 6 Years after merger, BCP still has far too many different IT systems that havent been amalgamated. Imagine the chaos of having even more different systems and workers with different responsibilities. Sort out Housing. Sort out Social Care. Sort out SEND. Then ask me again?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K112	Bournemouth Town	I disagree with all. It adds another layer of governance that Unitary authorities were set up ages ago to get rid of!!!! So it would be back to square one. I understand there is no spare money and local people would prefer better services for less not more local taxes. Too many layers of government further from the local people. Not necessary to have 3 or 4 !!! councillors per area. Better idea to have local ward assemblies that feed into BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
K113	Bournemouth Town	I disagree with each of the draft recommendations. They represent no benefit to tax/counciltax payers in Bournemouth and Poole for an increase in both local tax and local bureaucracy. Council tax is currently capped by central government, but the parish precept is not limited in this way and could be increased at will. The merges of BCP provided economies of scale but there is no evidence of this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K114	Bournemouth Town	I DISAGREE with the formation of ANY additional layers of bureaucracy. It is only a few years since Bournemouth, Christchurch & Poole were combined. That was to reduce duplication, rationalise services across all areas and to save costs. You are now proposing adding even more entities.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K115	Bournemouth Town	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K116	Bournemouth Town	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K117	Bournemouth Town	I do not think we need a town or parish council. It would put in another layer of administration in which would be costly and unwarranted. Each parish would then need their administration and this would be a costly mistake.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 3
K118	Bournemouth Town	I do not want a vast Bournemouth Town council at all. But, if it is going to happen then there should be the opportunity for local areas to suggest their own parish council like the proposal for East Cliff and Springbourne council emailed in. Also, the number of councillors proposed for the East Cliff and Springbourne ward is far too low. There should be five at least.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH7 7
K119	Bournemouth Town	I do not want extra unnecessary layers of Councils which have zero benefit. Adding new layers will create confusion on which Council does which job, it needs to be kept simple. We currently have one council which joined the town councils of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole to save money and make it more streamlined. Having multiple layers of council for each area will not help community cohesion.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
K120	Bournemouth Town	I do not want to see additional layers of administration. BCP is fine and simple as it is.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K121	Bournemouth Town	I don't think Bournemouth should be split into pariah councils. This split seems very arbitrary and just lumping together what is left.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K122	Bournemouth Town	I don't see what benefit there will be by the introduction of a new layer of beurocracy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
K123	Bournemouth Town	I live in the Littledown and Iford ward. I think we will be very poorly represented in a Bournemouth Council parish. I think the majority of additional funding would go to the town centre and deprived areas and we would see very little/no benefit locally. We also probably identify more with Pokesdown and southbourne - this is our main local shopping area and beach (as well as Boscombe east) and use the town centre as much as anyone else from the south west of Bournemouth/BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
K124	Bournemouth Town	I object to the Parish Council Plans because it is not an improvement and would just add bureaucracy, costs and an increase to council tax. Creating 115 new councillors is unnecessary and the current system can provide adequate representation of Ward interests through the existing Ward Councillors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K125	Bournemouth Town	I oppose all the points of the draft, but chiefly point 'A'. I feel that the proposal to set up parish councils in the area will be a costly one. At a time when all households are already struggling with rising living costs, this seems like an unnecessary expense. It would no doubt be put onto the residents to fund. We are not interested in the parish councils being set up and do not understand what their purpose is. BCP council recently merged to be more centralised, this seems a step in the reverse direction which will confuse residents as to which authority deals with issues in the area.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K126	Bournemouth Town	I prefer smaller parish / community councils. Bournemouth town council will be too big. The louder councillors will get too much say at the expense of others. How would the money raised in each area be spent? It will be too big and unwieldy and cost too much to run. The precept will be used for staffing costs and not for improving areas.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K127	Bournemouth Town	I see no need for more councillors at even more expenses for them to do nothing. I don't agree with the need for parishes.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K128	Bournemouth Town	<p>I simply don't see the need for an additional layer of government. We already have far too May layers; this simply allows councillors to absolve themselves of their responsibilities by confusing where the demarcations between bodies will be.</p> <p>Quite simply this is a terrible idea, existing councillors should focus on delivering on their responsibilities, which they were elected to do in the first place. If they feel this is too much responsibility and they are unable to deliver on their commitments they should resign, and let others stand in their place.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K129	Bournemouth Town	I strongly disagree with all areas of this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
K130	Bournemouth Town	I strongly disagree with all proposals for a bournemouth parish. The area is too big and would increase the council tax bill with little benefits for each area. I suspect most money would be put towards the town centre. I think the charter trustees should continue but if this is not possible then the area should be smaller and Kinson and Wallisdown and Winton west should be excluded.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K131	Bournemouth Town	I thought the whole point of BCP was to combine resources. Now that BCP exists, you decide to create more cost and creating more overhead. And how much would you charge residents for the privilege of diluting your responsibilities? Other town councils have seen their council tax go up BECUASE of proposals like these. If you have money to spare, by all means reduce the council tax burden. No? I thought not.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K132	Bournemouth Town	I was under the impression that BCP was created to join together Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole, to save money, so why revert back to separate councils unless BCP is being dissolved. From what I understand that is not the case, just a further influx of councillors, council employees which will have to be paid . BCP is already financially in a mess, no funds for so many services, so the only way I can see that more people to be paid will be from a direct hit on the residents via council tax or even more cuts to services. And still no one will be accountable for their mistakes.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K133	Bournemouth Town	improve your own services first rather than creating new councils to do your job for you	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K134	Bournemouth Town	In relation to the whole proposal, I fail to see what benefits will accrue with the establishment of a Town Council for Bournemouth. The only reason given that as Poole Town has one, so Bournemouth also should. On the other hand, I can perceive several layers of bureaucracy, all costing extra money, and providing excuses as to why nothing is done as each tries to shift responsibility to the next. The whole idea of creating BCP was to integrate and produce cohesiveness that would be unravelled by a plethora of additional groupings and masses of extra councillors at more levels and costs.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K135	Bournemouth Town	Isn't this exactly why BCP was invented? To reduce duplication, economies of scale? Put this much effort into getting agreement to do something with old council property (Poole town hall) and stop thinking that changing boundaries is going to make any difference to day to day lives of residents and councillors. The latter will still have to put up with the electorate and have a thankless task. It makes me sad to think how much time has been spent on this when we have so many real problems to tackle. And less important ones, like why doesn't BCP appear to know anything about arborial management and horticulture?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K136	Bournemouth Town	It is not long since the town councils were merged. I cant see the point of going backwards...incurring more costs and taxes to the residents	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K137	Bournemouth Town	It makes no sense geographically. It would just be another administrative layer that people don't understand or want.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K138	Bournemouth Town	It seems an unnecessary change when the proposed roles of the parish councils seem so small (as per the table included in the document). There is no clarity on how this will increase council tax, which is already high. The document lacks detail on what changes or benefits this will bring and talks mostly about boundaries. I've had no information provided by BCP council about this either through the door, email or adverts so it feels like it's being 'snuck in'. Surely a vote should be needed for this level of change?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K139	Bournemouth Town	It will cost additional unnecessary spending.....a thru to h	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K140	Bournemouth Town	Just duplication of what we already have. Complete waste of money and resources. If BCP is in so much debt, with the predicted deficit of nearly £100M, how can they think of wasting money on these schemes.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
K141	Bournemouth Town	Just wasting peoples time the Councilors you already have can't get anything done due to lack of funds.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K142	Bournemouth Town	K-Bournemouth. I want the unitary authority to have compete autonomy without another tier of governance in the parishes. This will slow down decisions and add unnessary additional costs onto my council tax bill.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K143	Bournemouth Town	Looks as an extra layer for no benefit, no improvement of services but a confusion would come out of it...	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K144	Bournemouth Town	More councils equals more beauracy and wasted resources	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K145	Bournemouth Town	<p>My comments refer to all proposals: All draft recommendations : I strongly disagree that there should be a new community council in this area for the following reasons</p> <p>a)The proposals have been put forward by a very small number of individuals on the task and finish group.</p> <p>b) Most residents do not even know about these proposals. They have not been democratically chosen.</p> <p>c) It will lead to artificial divisions between neighbourhoods depending on amount of precept charged if there are different councils. This will in turn lead to different levels of service, which will entrench difference and divisions instead of fostering collaboration within and between communities.</p> <p>e) It is not democratic, very few people have contributed to these proposals</p> <p>f) It will cost residents more in a time where many people can ill afford it.</p> <p>g) There are not likely to be many people who want to stand as councillors, leading to a deficit in democracy</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K146	Bournemouth Town	My primary concerns for Bournemouth are around the poor state of the town centre and the lack of initiative in recreating the kind of hub of social activity that Bournemouth was in previous years, and also the staggering cost of rent, which is so unfair on our young people, including 2 of my own children. I am unconvinced that creating parish councils, with the additional financial burden they will place on residents, will do anything to address these concerns.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K147	Bournemouth Town	No compelling reason to go ahead with change. Offers no advantage.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K148	Bournemouth Town	No need for another talking shop. Save money not waste it on another tier of administration	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
K149	Bournemouth Town	No need for more councillors or town councillors. More red tape and more cost to residents!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
K150	Bournemouth Town	Not that long ago the town councils for Bournemouth pool and Christchurch combined to become BCP, to save money. It seems a nonsense to create an additional level of administration below this to add additional cost and admin overhead unless you also plan to abolish BCP and go back to the three separate town councils.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K151	Bournemouth Town	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K152	Bournemouth Town	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
K153	Bournemouth Town	Parish councils represent unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
K154	Bournemouth Town	PLEASE KEEP CENTRAL BCP AS IT IS, NO NEED FOR EXTRA LAYERS,	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K155	Bournemouth Town	Re a and d - the parish council should not be created. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not increasing it. I do not think the areas that do have these already get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K156	Bournemouth Town	Setting up a new level of bureaucracy costs money and achieves nothing.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
K157	Bournemouth Town	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authroity cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K158	Bournemouth Town	Strongly disagree with having a second layer of local governance when the focus should be on making the unitary authority a cohesive and effective entity. Neighbourhood Forums should be supported and developed to give local input as required.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K159	Bournemouth Town	The Bournemouth Parish has two distinct areas - As per historically the town centre - East & West Cliff are predominately driven by Hotel and Tourism decisions that simply do not apply to the outlying districts. I do not believe that the decision process needs prolonging and fractured by parochial decision makers. I do not support Parish Councils for the comurbation - I believe it is a retrograde step.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K160	Bournemouth Town	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K161	Bournemouth Town	The cost of a Town or Parish Council well exceeds the monies paid to the Charter Trustees. We pay enough now. Why would we want to pay more, and anyway why all of a sudden is it necessary to have a town or parish council. The Towncouncil was done away with in 2019. Was that a mistake?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
K162	Bournemouth Town	The cost of all these draft recommendations is unnecessary given we already have people serving our areas working in precisely the same areas. The electorate will be so small in each parish and the turnout inevitably so low that it will not represent the full range of views and therefore offers no advantage over the existing situation. I am particularly concerned that small well organised organisations can secure 'power' in the face of widespread voters apathy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K163	Bournemouth Town	The introduction of another tier of bureaucracy for the area is unwarranted, The principle criticism of BCP council is that it is too detached from "local" decision making and operations. To create a "Bournemouth Town council" , of this size , would be to create another tier that is almost as detached as the unitary authority. With no clear details of its remit , responsibilities , or budget , this would simply be to complicate further the areas governance , not to streamline it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K164	Bournemouth Town	The last thing we need is another layer of local government, we have too much as it is.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K165	Bournemouth Town	The name of the council as BOURNEMOUTH is wrong. The assumption is that the parish councils proposed in the constitution above will be going ahead and "BOURNEMOUTH" will be the left over Wards. This will just break up the town of BOURNEMOUTH and prevent community cohesion. I cannot understand that anyone would think that creating all of these additional posts for PARISH COUNCILLORS with all of the extra admin this will create is a good thing. It's madness.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH10 7
K166	Bournemouth Town	The new council will be far too large and will incur costs that will feed back into an increase in council tax. It would be preferable for the situation at present to be retained where the local ward is more manageable and personable.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K167	Bournemouth Town	The proposa just adds more bureaucracy and complexity	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K168	Bournemouth Town	The proposed boundary is not logical and the areas covered have no connection to each other.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K169	Bournemouth Town	The whole concept of establishing wards within the BCP area makes a mockery of the unification of Bournemouth, Christchurch under one council, which I understood was to streamline local government. Before unification we had ample, effective and accountable councillors. Now the area is to be divided up and an unnecessary layer of beauty racy created.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH7 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K170	Bournemouth Town	There is no need for another layer of government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K171	Bournemouth Town	There is no reason to have another layer of bureaucracy in this area. BCP has Councillors who represent these areas. The different wards have very little in common such as Kinson and Muscliff have with Talbot & Branksome Woods/ Bournemouth Town Centre. This will be another cost on top of council tax and will include cost of elections.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K172	Bournemouth Town	This appears to be creating new jobs when there are already departments doing the jobs, or supposed to be. Keep it simple and make sure everyone is doing the job they have. Make managers responsible to jobs getting done, the whole idea of BCP was to get rid of the departments doing the same job, some of which are still there anyway. Make what is already there work properly.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K173	Bournemouth Town	This entire proposal is completely not necessary. It will simply result in huge increases to the community charge to each property.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K174	Bournemouth Town	This is a completely unnecessary additional layer of bureaucracy and complexity.. not to mention cost on the local tax payer. This is not needed. BCP just need to step up and do their job. Stop being distracted with vanity projects that provide no tangible benefit to residents.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K175	Bournemouth Town	This is a nonsense and sticking to pre-BCP boundaries Kinson should be a separate parish/town Wallisdown, Talbot and Talbot Village (in "Poole Town" according to these proposals) should be a parish Westbourne should be a parish Winton East and Moordown should be a town	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH11 8

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K176	Bournemouth Town	This is an utterly arbitrary 'parish' created for convenience, not democracy. How this fulfils the government's vision of a parish council that will strengthen local identity and democracy is beyond me. It doesn't provide community cohesion, co-terminosity or reflect any particular characteristic of any of the areas included. This 'left over' part of Bournemouth does not in any way speak to the legislation that governs parish councils in its letter or spirit.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K177	Bournemouth Town	This is another level of Bureaucracy that is not needed. There will be a conflict of interests between the BCP Council and all the new parishes. I disagree with the recommendations. The extra staff and Councillors will add considerably to the Council Tax and Parish Councils are not limited to 4.99% annual increases.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K178	Bournemouth Town	This is simply an unnecessary additional [unknown] of governance; it will add nothing to local decision making or democracy. This is simply a showmanship.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH11 8
K179	Bournemouth Town	Too many layers! Parish, town and conurbation? Who does what, why do we need extra bureaucracy and paperwork!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
K180	Bournemouth Town	Totally unnecessary expense	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K181	Bournemouth Town	Totally unnecessary extra bureaucracy. BCP council was established to streamline local administration and now you are wanting to add more layers blurring the responsibilities of the councils.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K182	Bournemouth Town	Unbelievable -we've been years joining up small local responsive councils into a monster (BCP) -now you're wasting money trying to slip in another layer of "democracy" costing more and achieving nothing other than giving BCP an escape route for things it can't cope with because of its remoteness.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
K183	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary layer of local governance certainly dont want for Littledown	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K184	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary costs	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K185	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary duplication - case has not been made out for this change	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K186	Bournemouth Town	We already have a council responsible to the local electorate. A dilution of this responsibility is not required.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K187	Bournemouth Town	We do not need another layer of admin & expense.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH2 5
K188	Bournemouth Town	We do not need more local govt just better local govt. The proposals would make local govt, more bureaucratic, more complicated and more costly.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K189	Bournemouth Town	We don't need more Councillors or another irrelevant level of administration. I have lived and worked in areas with and without Parish Councils and on the whole they are not necessary nor do they add much in value, jut another precept. I totally disagree with this and its a distraction	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K190	Bournemouth Town	We had a town council which was merged with those of Christchurch and Poole to form what can only be termed as the hopeless BCP Council, so why is it needed, unless BCP Council is going to be de-merged. We do not need another level of bureaucracy, and certainly don't need to be taxed further to pay for it. More bureaucracy, more cost, more inefficiency and corruption.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 3
K191	Bournemouth Town	We have been through a process of amalgamation (creation of BCP) to reduce waste, duplication and cost. I see no benefit from reintroducing another unnecessary bureaucratic tier for the future. The number of councillors per ward has been reviewed as a result of the previous process and determined adequate for the task. It is difficult enough o engage the local electorate in the current system and if you introduce more people to vote for they will become even more complacent.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K192	Bournemouth Town	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
K193	Bournemouth Town	Wholly unnecessary extra layer of council. The cost will be prohibitive at a time that the council are already stretched financially. Much better to spend the money on other things	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
K194	Bournemouth Town	Why change for change's sake??	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K195	Bournemouth Town	a) Parish councils for BCP are a bad idea because it would be just another layer of bureaucracy that we would have to pay for.	Don't know/not sure	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K196	Bournemouth Town	No need for another level of bureaucracy. Present arrangements are adequate. Opportunities for consultation.	Don't know/not sure	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K197	Bournemouth Town	This plan will add an additional level of bureaucracy, with additional costs, that the area cannot afford	Don't know/not sure	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K198	Bournemouth Town	f - disagree strongly. The boundary between Moordown and Wallisdown/Winton West is clearly daft - Moordown St John's Primary school and Moordown St John's church should be in Moordown Ward!	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K199	Bournemouth Town	It is not clear aside from increasing council tax and creating more bureaucracy how this will assist and help the town: there appear to be bigger problems than creating more structures	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K200	Bournemouth Town	N/A	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K201	Bournemouth Town	Sensible proposals	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K202	Bournemouth Town	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K203	Bournemouth Town	You had 3 separate councils, you caused staff working for those councils high amounts of stress, putting them through competition. No achievement has been made from BCP you can't just change your mind without acknowledging the damage you have done to those employed by the previous town councils or the residents of those councils.		Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
K204	Bournemouth Town	(a & b) Strongly agree – The entire Bournemouth area should be parished. Continuing with a Charter Trustee model creates a democratic and financial imbalance across BCP. Residents in Bournemouth pay for a Charter Trustee precept but receive only ceremonial functions in return—unlike residents in parished areas who benefit from fully empowered local councils. (c & f) Strongly agree – The name "Bournemouth Town Council" is appropriate, logical, and reflects historical civic identity. It supports continuity and public recognition. (d & e) Strongly agree – Bournemouth is the largest town in Dorset and deserves a governance model to match. A town council would enhance local accountability and enable targeted delivery of services, events, and support for community groups. (g, h & i) Agree – Warding arrangements and councillor numbers must ensure fair representation across a geographically and socially diverse town. Electoral equality and effective warding will be essential to the new council's credibility and functionality.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K205	Bournemouth Town	<p>(a) to (f) - I am very glad that the Overview and Scrutiny Board's cross-party working group put forward a suggestion for a Bournemouth Town Council. First and foremost this would displace the Bournemouth Charter Trust which, despite the well-intentioned efforts of one or two Mayors, has never been fully embraced by Bournemouth's populace. I get no pleasure from saying this, it is just how it is. Viewing figures for the livestreamed meetings seldom stray beyond two figures. The public galleries are invariably empty; if you were to ask a resident passing through the Square if they knew what the Bournemouth Charter Trust was, I expect they would say No. Meetings are sparsely attended by the trustees themselves and two trustees have exercised their right to be removed from that body. Much of this could be applied of course - mutatis mutandis - to the Poole Charter Trust, but Bournemouth is the town in which I lived for 40+ years and where I continue to work full-time, deliver talks and carry out my studies.</p> <p>The Charter Trust is constrained, moreover - severely constrained - in what activities it can offer, if the advice from Cornerstone Barristers received on 17 July 2024 is to be believed. The attraction of a Bournemouth Town Council is that unlike the Charter Trust it could perform a huge range of discretionary activities, including some which BCP Council, suffering from a deficit, is constrained from undertaking. A Bournemouth Town Council, charging the current precept of £2.27, would receive gross income of £226,367 per year even if Northbourne, Boscombe and Southbourne were to become separate parishes. That alone would easily fund a full-time clerk, hired premises, a Remembrance Sunday event (for which the Charter Trust budgeted £10,000 a year) and a few memorial benches. In practice what I suspect would happen is that areas with stronger senses of their own identity, such as Winton and Springbourne, would start pressing for their own parish status ahead of the next community governance review due in the 2030s. Were that in turn to succeed, the residuum of Bournemouth Town Council could lawfully be abolished as part of the same review and the archaic borough privileges incomprehensible to a modern populace quietly buried. There is, contrary to BCP's guidance paper, nothing to prevent geographic areas enjoying only one tier of local government, Plymouth, Portsmouth, Reading, and Cambridge being but four examples.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
K206	Bournemouth Town	A - it will be good to have local people having an input into looking after their local area	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K207	Bournemouth Town	a I support the creation of a parish council for Bournemouth. It is not fair for community events in Bournemouth to be paid for by Christchurch residents (and Poole residents, if the parish council for Poole is approved, which I support) through their BCP council tax, when similar events in Christchurch (and Poole) would be paid for by the parish council. If BCP Council were to stop funding events in Bournemouth, then this would unfairly disadvantage Bournemouth residents unless a parish council is created which can run these events.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
K208	Bournemouth Town	again ab c as it is a twon I vist more than other	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
K209	Bournemouth Town	Again following on earlier comments I question having the seperate parish councils	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
K210	Bournemouth Town	b - the area is too large. Kinson and Wallisdown should join with Northbourne	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
K211	Bournemouth Town	b) add Boscombe and Redhill f)g) and h) reduce the number of councillors to around 15	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
K212	Bournemouth Town	b) f) g) & h) A re-established Bournemouth Town Council should include Redhill and Northbourne thus re-creating the historic town and borough of Bournemouth. This is likely to maintain economic and cultural integration and equity throughout the whole town.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K213	Bournemouth Town	b) The Bournemouth Town Council should include all areas that were included prior to BCP Council being formed.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
K214	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth needs representation at the parish/district level.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K215	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth should have a single parish council covering the exact boundaries of the former Bournemouth Borough Council.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
K216	Bournemouth Town	F g h - why the district between Kinson and Mooredown excluded?	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K217	Bournemouth Town	f) The boundary and wards are too big and too many Councillors. Kinson looks like it is out on a limb. Would it be better to separate some of the wards from the main Town and make them a separate council. ie South and North.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K218	Bournemouth Town	Get rid of BCP council and leave just three separate, independent single tier authorities for Christchurch, Poole and Bournemouth.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
K219	Bournemouth Town	Having worked for local Government social services for many years before I retired, although I am for de-centralising, it is expensive to carry out (at the expense of vital services), and every time it happens, after a few years Councils decided to centralise again - at the expense of vital services.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K220	Bournemouth Town	I think Town, Parish and Community Councils are a great idea and it would be unfair on Bournemouth Town to be left behind. Many of the issues which are talked about daily could be improved if not solved completely with an injection of cash annually from the precept, and from CIL and any other grants that could be applied for.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K221	Bournemouth Town	It is the responsibility of the current BCP Council to effectively govern the area. The introduction of Parish Councils will relieve BCP Council of significant costs but [unknown] other costs to council tax payers. I would not have objected if the council was covering the parish council costs.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K222	Bournemouth Town	It will enable the Town Council to concentrate on matters that affect them like policing & ASB	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K223	Bournemouth Town	Its just too much extra bureaucracy and poor value for money	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
K224	Bournemouth Town	Recommendation b: The proposed Town Council for Bournemouth is too large to be an effective local Town Council and too closely resembles the boundary of the former Bournemouth Borough Council. The proposed Town Council should be divided into a small number of more local Town Councils which more closely reflect local communities.	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
K225	Bournemouth Town	Support Bournemouth Town council a-g. This would bring more local democracy and focus on neighbourhoods, in a way the BCP is not able to do. Can support areas and services the BCP is having to withdraw from. h-i) less keen to support as 3-5 councillors per area / total of 38, is probably too many. Dropping one per ward would be total of 27, which feels a more manageable number, and more likely to see these filled.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K226	Bournemouth Town	Thanks here	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K227	Bournemouth Town	There is a high number of councillors prescribed to wards. Some are unnecessary	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
K228	Bournemouth Town	These changes would be positive and appropriate for Bournemouth. These changes would help regenerate Bournemouth whilst ensuring that parish/ council funds are spent carefully with more scrutiny and involvement for Bournemouth residents and visitors.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
K229	Bournemouth Town	Though with less history, there are lots of issues that could be resolved for Bournemouth with a Town Council.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
K230	Bournemouth Town	(a) I really do not see the point of this expense proposal. Just leave the area as BCP. (f) The whole of Wallisdown has not been included. I live on the Poole side of Wallisdown Road close to the shopping area. My property has been included in the Alderney ward. I live nowhere near Alderney.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
K231	Bournemouth Town	(a) the establishment of a Bournemouth town Council just sets yet another layer of local council. The people of Bournemouth Christchurch and Poole can be represented by councillors on the main BCP council. there is no need for another layer of democracy. it will be a very expensive addition to the people of Bournemouth. This can be evidenced by what the people of Christchurch town Council have to pay.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K232	Bournemouth Town	<p>(F) I think Bournemouth is too big for town councils, Poole is also. The expense of all the town clerks, audited accounts, meeting rooms, equipment, cindumables, by elections just doesn't make sense so we can split the area into small groups to then disagree on boundaries, when all they will control is allotments, benches, and cementries.</p> <p>The bbc recently reported that all town councillors resigned in Yarm, one stating its due to a toxic atmosphere. I can see similar happening here if we get town councils, when the general public realise what little benefit they get for the money they contribute, social media will not be kind. I am yet to see a complaint online about how the cemeterues and allotments are run, so why should we spend all this money lol changing things no one is concerned about/are currently run well.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
K233	Bournemouth Town	(g) Too large for a Parish Council.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K234	Bournemouth Town	[b] - if Bournemouth parish is to be created, it should cover the whole of the Borough of Bournemouth, rather than excluding Northbourne/Redhill, Boscombe/Pokesdown and Southbourne/Tuckton/Wick.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
K235	Bournemouth Town	<p>1. Concerns over boundaries.</p> <p>2. Concerns of more levels of bureaucracy.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K236	Bournemouth Town	<p>1. This is likely to suffer from the same democratic deficit of existing parish councils - abysmally low turnouts and uncontested elections. Both are deeply undemocratic. It would be more democratic for BCP Council to continue to perform the functions that this proposed community council would perform and for the BCP Council ward councillors to represent their residents through BCP Council and its processes.</p> <p>2. The absence of Southbourne, Boscombe and Pokesdown, and Redhill and Northbourne also makes little sense - how can there be joined-up thinking and economies of scale for Bournemouth if three of its key areas are managed by entirely separate parish councils?</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
K237	Bournemouth Town	a - disagree with whole concept, extra cost and bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
K238	Bournemouth Town	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
K239	Bournemouth Town	a The case for change has not been made. I am not been given the information to judge whether the proposals are worth it because (1) the proposals don't set out how governance will be more effective. (2) the cost is not known. I am not against higher local taxes, so long as they result in better outcomes	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K240	Bournemouth Town	<p>A to H .</p> <p>a) We do not support the draft recommendation to establish a Bournemouth Town Council for the remainder of the former borough. While we recognise the intention of ensuring consistency across the BCP area, the current proposal lacks both a clear purpose and a meaningful community mandate.</p> <p>There were no substantive community submissions calling for a Bournemouth Town Council. It was introduced by the Task and Finish Group, not by residents or local forums, and appears to be based on administrative logic rather than grassroots demand. A number of councillors even expressed opposition to the concept, and to date, the proposal remains unfocused, undefined, and untested in terms of practical benefit or democratic engagement.</p> <p>In its current form, the Bournemouth Town Council proposal lacks a coherent identity or shared vision. It does not reflect the distinct character of the communities it would serve, and we believe such a structure risks replicating the limitations of the former Bournemouth Borough Council — being too large to effectively represent local interests at the parish level.</p> <p>Separately, we are concerned by statements made in public meetings suggesting that Boscombe and Pokesdown could be included in this proposed Town Council by default, should our community council proposal not be successful. If Boscombe and Pokesdown were to remain the only unparished area, and therefore the only reason for retaining the Charter Trustees, it has been implied that it might be absorbed into the new Town Council structure to enable the Trustees to be dissolved.</p> <p>This approach would be deeply problematic. We are not being directly consulted on whether we want to join the larger Bournemouth Town Council, and there is no democratic mandate for such an inclusion. Forcing Boscombe and Pokesdown into a structure it has neither requested nor supported — particularly when a separate, community-developed proposal exists — would be undemocratic and contrary to the principles of good governance.</p> <p>We therefore:</p> <p>Oppose the creation of a Bournemouth Town Council in its current form, due to lack of purpose and community support;</p> <p>Strongly oppose any future inclusion of Boscombe and Pokesdown in such a council by default;</p> <p>Urge the Council to treat the Boscombe and Pokesdown Community Council proposal on its own merits, and to ensure no governance changes are made without explicit consultation and consent from our community.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K241	Bournemouth Town	a too h. Confusion reigns. It is not long since the Bournemouth Council with Christchurch and Poole were united into one Council for good solid reasons. To now create a Bournemouth Council is absolutely bonkers. It makes Councillors who take these decisions look ridiculous which in turn causes the electorate to take little interest in local government. Who do the electorate turn to - Parish Councils - Community Councils - Town Councils or BCP Council? It all sounds like a skit for a Monty Python film.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K242	Bournemouth Town	'a' unnecessary additional level of Govt	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
K243	Bournemouth Town	<p>A&B</p> <p>To be honest, I don't really see the point of the proposed Bournemouth Town Council. The area it covers feels far too large and oddly shaped, and I can't imagine how a single body could meaningfully represent such a wide range of communities with so few councillors. In practice, I think the diversity of those areas would get lost, and the outcome might be no better — or even less effective — than what BCP Council is already doing.</p> <p>As someone who lives on the edge of Southbourne and Boscombe, I'd be especially concerned if either of those areas were ever brought under the Bournemouth Town proposal by default or through a lack of local representation. Both have strong, distinct identities and deserve their own dedicated attention. A blanket council for the whole of Bournemouth doesn't seem like the right fit — it feels like it would dilute local voices rather than strengthen them.</p> <p>For me, any local governance should be about giving people more of a say in the places they live and work — not rolling them into a one-size-fits-all structure that risks missing the details that make each area special.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K244	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019.</p> <p>b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost.</p> <p>c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services</p> <p>d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions</p> <p>e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils</p> <p>f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
K245	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) It an unnecessary level of beauracy and an informal council parish council could be created under the existing charter system</p> <p>g)-h) The number of councilors should be less than present BCP councilors supprting the area - 10-14 should be enough if any</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K246	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) It seems rather unwieldy to lump all the other areas of Bournemouth in one town parish, and I am concerned that this will create some disparity within the conurbation, pitting this dominant parish against other smaller parish councils.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K247	Bournemouth Town	<p>a) we don't need another layer in the local government system</p> <p>b) This is not the whole of Bournemouth - see a and c</p> <p>c) It is not Bournemouth, just a slice of Bournemouth</p> <p>d,e,f,g,h - see reasons above</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K248	Bournemouth Town	<p>a, b,c,d, e, f, g, h</p> <p>My belief is there is not an appetite for a Parish or Community Council in Bournemouth as a whole and this CGR has generated a consultation to choose one or another. Instead I am of the opinion if to 'do nothing at all' was an option in the consultation then the majority of the consultation responses would be in favor of a 'change is unnecessary' response.</p>	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K249	Bournemouth Town	<p>a, d</p> <p>I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit.</p> <p>Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets.</p> <p>Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
K250	Bournemouth Town	<p>'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?</p> <p>'g', 'h' Is the 38 in addition to the 76 BCP councillors? Why not concentrate on improving BCP services rather than shifting responsibility to a lower level.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
K251	Bournemouth Town	<p>a,b,c As a resident of Bournemouth I think the town should not have a new parish/town council - there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K252	Bournemouth Town	<p>a,b,c BCP needs disbanding and Bournemouth needs to become an independent town</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
K253	Bournemouth Town	<p>a,b,c,d and any other. Another layer of bureaucracy is not required in the BCP area. There is no rush to make a decision today or next year. If any party has reason to believe Community Governance is needed that subject can be part of the manifesto at the next local elections in May 2027.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K254	Bournemouth Town	a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K255	Bournemouth Town	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h There is no need for further levels of administration. You haven't shown how this would benefit the local population or BCP as a whole. It just seems to be a way of diverting work from the existing council, making their lives easier at the expense of everyone else. We need a breakdown of cost benefits before something like this is proposed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
K256	Bournemouth Town	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h why are you wasting so much money putting extra layers of mismanagement in place so soon after forcing the three councils together allegedly to save money	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K257	Bournemouth Town	<p>a. Disagree.</p> <p>Reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Highly divisive action across BCP, promoting different levels of service throughout the conurbation. 2. Unnecessary additional level of bureaucracy 3. Resident confusion as to which councillor to contact, i.e one of the BCP ward councillors, or one of the 38 proposed parish councillors. 4. Confusion as to who is responsible for services. 5. Confusion as to who is accountable for services. 6. Additional cost to residents without any clear advantages 7. Overall lack of resident support for the proposal which is considered to be unnecessary. 8. Contrary to the aims of Local Government Reform objectives to produce savings and efficiencies via consolidation of area services and organisations. 9. Promotes division between the BCP community. 	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K258	Bournemouth Town	a. No one asked for a council with this boundary. It doesn't make any sense in terms of community. The argument that Bournemouth has to have one if Poole has one, is not a good reason. All of the areas who have shown an interest in parishing have been excluded from this proposed council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
K259	Bournemouth Town	a: We had a Borough Council, before a great deal of money was wasted in becoming BCP Council. Alot of distress was caused to employees and there is still buildings being unused due to the changes. Whichever employees keep suggesting these changes should not receive bonuses, promotion etc. We have several parishes within the old borough and to call Bournemouth a parish is crazy	Disagree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
K260	Bournemouth Town	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
K261	Bournemouth Town	Again what a joke. The money wasted and incompetence is shocking though not surprising. Stop wasting money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K262	Bournemouth Town	<p>'a-h inclusive' Do you seriously consider that BCP's Stage 2 proposal for Bournemouth Town Council, of 38 councillors representing an electorate of 100K in 11 wards is deliverable? In what would be a start-up situation, with zero members, zero officers and zero funds, is this really feasible?</p> <p>From what I have read, the Local Government Boundary Commission (LGBC) recommendation is a maximum of 25 councillors for a large Town Council.</p> <p>As an example, Weymouth Town Council, created at the same time as Christchurch, has responsibility for a wide range of services, a huge budget and operates with the requisite 25 councillors.</p> <p>The current proposal to roll out the same 'Mop up Town Council' solution, which was imposed on 10s of 1000s of people in Christchurch in 2018/19, across Bournemouth and Poole needs to be considered very carefully before being implemented. This time around, 100s of 1000s are going to feel the impact and when in place, a large Town Council is virtually impossible to remove.</p> <p>Councillors can 'sow the seeds' and 'sell the benefits' but the push and enthusiasm should be from bottom up, not top down. The final decision should come from the people. If there is any evidence of the outcome being little more than self-serving for councillors, plans should be abandoned</p> <p>The repercussions of a CGR are not easy to understand. There has been a lot of 'selling of the benefits' in the Council's promotion of this process but nothing has been said about the practical issues and difficulties surrounding local governance at this level, when it is on this scale.</p> <p>When residents have not requested any community-based changes, lack of engagement should not be considered acceptance of draft proposals. In the best interests of democracy and the community, Officers and Members should only interpret & act on data/input received. Otherwise, they are imposing their ideas/wishes on residents and householders are picking up the cost.</p> <p>Under a CGR banner, BCP Council is proposing to bring together widely varying communities to create a town council, rather than recognising areas with a cohesive identity to create parishes within the established Charter Trust areas.</p> <p>Has the creation of Parish Councils under the Charter Trustees for the three areas where there is obvious support for a local voice (LGBC Para 134) been considered and dismissed? These being Southbourne, Redhill & Northbourne and Broadstone,</p> <p>It is less clear where Boscombe & Pokesdown currently stand on this issue. From what has been said in Full Council meetings, it seems at some time there was support for a community council for this area. Hopefully, feedback from the Stage 3 process will resolve this matter.</p> <p>This leaves Poole, where surely those calling for a Town Council should have been encouraged to produce a more viable solution, centred around the old town, the quay</p>	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		<p>and appropriate outlying areas, rather than mopping up everywhere other than Broadstone into one Council.</p> <p>The additional £2.00 per annum payable to the existing Charter Trustees, by each of households in the new parishes, is insignificant, given the opportunities that will open up to those who genuinely want a community parish council.</p> <p>Finally, if there is no statutory requirement for this CGR, and any suggestion of political motivation is to be quashed, the fundamental question which needs to be answered is 'Why Now?'</p>					
K263	Bournemouth Town	a-h See my comments on Poole Town Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
K264	Bournemouth Town	A-h) Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K265	Bournemouth Town	<p>All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
K266	Bournemouth Town	All . yet another tier of bureaucracy with additional cost which is unnecessary. I thought the majority of councils already do not have enough money. ~God help us!	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
K267	Bournemouth Town	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
K268	Bournemouth Town	All: There are already too many layers of governance and councilors, it is already very difficult to get proper decisions made in a timely manner without adding further layers of governance and cost. If anything the existing system should be thinned down to streamline processes and enable progress.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K269	Bournemouth Town	Another layer of bureaucracy money added to council tax better spent on dealing with crime and social housing	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
K270	Bournemouth Town	As above for Poole	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
K271	Bournemouth Town	As before (all) Creates extra and costly bureaucracy.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
K272	Bournemouth Town	As stated before we need to live within our means and sta as we are till our finances are in a better place and think again	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
K273	Bournemouth Town	As with Poole I don't agree it makes sense for Bournemouth to have a town council. Whilst I live in Poole I used to work in Bournemouth, and still visit regularly being halfway between Bournemouth and Poole town centres. If brought in I would have no vote to provide democratic input to Bournemouth Town Council, despite decisions being made there impacting my life. This was one of the benefits of BCP that it recognises the Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch connurbation functions as one.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K274	Bournemouth Town	BCP already has a set of councillors and we are paying for them and the staff required. There is a clear priority on the B portion of their focus already and therefore Bournemouth does not need it's own Town Council as well at further expense. Christchurch and Poole are needed as protection for the local areas and community.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K275	Bournemouth Town	<p>BCP Council is already the democratically elected local authority responsible for these areas, with 26 (!) ward councillors who are directly accountable to residents. This structure ensures that local issues are represented without creating additional layers of bureaucracy or confusion. The current system works and already provides residents with accessible representation and a route to influence local decision-making.</p> <p>Introducing a parish council would duplicate responsibilities, add unnecessary complexity, and risk creating confusion about who is accountable for what. It would fragment governance and dilute the clarity of local democracy at a time when we should be aiming for more coherence and transparency, not less.</p> <p>Moreover, parish councils bring with them additional financial burdens. While not required to raise a precept, in practice they often do, as they can do little without one. This would result in higher council tax bills for residents in Bournemouth at a time when the cost of living is already a serious concern. Council tax is a regressive tax, and adding further charges would disproportionately impact those least able to pay.</p> <p>Establishing a parish council also risks entrenching inequality. More affluent areas may be able to raise higher precepts to fund better local services, while less affluent areas would struggle to keep up, undermining fairness across the wider community.</p> <p>BCP was created to unify our area, deliver services efficiently, and speak with one voice. Fragmenting that structure through new parish councils runs directly counter to its founding purpose. Bournemouth does not need a parish council—it needs to remain part of a strong, unified BCP working together with the wider BCP community to build a fairer, more cohesive future.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
K276	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth does not need a town councils as well as BCP. The voter numbers per town councillor are so small that student votes might have a disproportionate effect, even though they're typically not resident for the whole year.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
K277	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth doesn't need a Parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K278	Bournemouth Town	<p>Comment: a. Strongly oppose the establishment of a Bournemouth Town Council.</p> <p>The proposal to introduce a Bournemouth Town Council is unjustified and unnecessary. This idea runs counter to the core principles behind the formation of BCP Council in 2019, which was to consolidate local government, reduce administrative duplication, and provide more efficient services for residents.</p> <p>Introducing a third tier of governance in Bournemouth will only complicate this structure, not improve it. The Charter Trustees already serve the purpose of maintaining Bournemouth's civic and ceremonial traditions. Creating a Town Council is a duplication of governance, adding layers where simplification was the original aim.</p> <p>Key reasons for opposition include:</p> <p>No public demand or justification: The report itself acknowledges that no public submissions were received in favour of a Bournemouth Town Council (Section 8.6). The idea has been artificially introduced "just in case" – not as a response to any community call or identified governance gap. This is a solution in search of a problem.</p> <p>Increased tax burden without proven benefit: Town councils are funded through council tax precepts. The current Bournemouth Charter Trustee precept is just £2.27 per Band D household. Creating a Town Council will inevitably introduce a much higher charge. As highlighted in Section 5.5 of the consultation document, town councils across the region currently charge anywhere from £27 to over £260. This is money out of residents' pockets for services already managed by BCP Council.</p> <p>Discretionary, not essential services: As outlined in Section 5.4, the services a new council could take on are entirely discretionary, most of which are already being effectively handled by BCP. Establishing a Town Council for non-essential services at additional cost is fiscally irresponsible, especially during a time of ongoing public service pressure.</p> <p>Governance confusion and fragmentation: Creating new councils for Bournemouth and Poole risks uneven service provision, conflicting accountability, and disjointed representation. This is the very inefficiency the BCP unitarisation was designed to eliminate. The introduction of town councils will dilute rather than enhance effective governance.</p> <p>Unwarranted disruption: BCP Council is still maturing as a unified authority. It makes no sense to derail that process by re-fragmenting the governance model less than a decade later, especially when there is no electoral or democratic mandate to do so.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
K279	Bournemouth Town	Councillors elected to become one making bcp. We do not need more councillors	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K280	Bournemouth Town	Do not create this council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
K281	Bournemouth Town	Give Poole Town back the £10million you stole from us and repair our town centre	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
K282	Bournemouth Town	I am commenting on all of the draft recommendations.We do not need parish councils as the newly combined BCP council should be fulfilling any and all of the sections proposed in the draft.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
K283	Bournemouth Town	I am happy for the existing parish councils to continue but disagree with the creation of any further parish councils being created within the BCP Area. The whole point of having a unitary authority was to have economies of scale with fewer staff and less costs. Why spend more money on a local government tier that has very limited power? It's just another layer of bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
K284	Bournemouth Town	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
K285	Bournemouth Town	I believe the recommendations For Bournemouth are not value for money. I didn't his current situation. I believe the money it would be well spent putting back into the local area than wasting it on more highly paid Counsellor who do not listen to the people.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
K286	Bournemouth Town	I disagree with BCP making any more changes to the structuring of local government - combining Bournemouth Poole and Christchurch was too costly and no changes should be made until after the next general election.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K287	Bournemouth Town	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
K288	Bournemouth Town	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
K289	Bournemouth Town	I fail to see any benefit to this proposal, just additional complications and cost and levels of management.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K290	Bournemouth Town	<p>I strongly disagree with proposals a) and b) that a council with these boundaries be established within Bournemouth. I disagree with splitting up Bournemouth into smaller town councils. Bournemouth has long been made up of many communities all with a shared identity of being part of Bournemouth.</p> <p>We use green spaces, shopping districts and facilities across all of Bournemouth, not just in a particular area. We care about, and are affected by, the whole of Bournemouth's beach & seafront, transport networks, schools & colleges, parks and our town centre, not just the bits closest to us.</p> <p>We should be able to have a voice in decisions affecting the whole area we live in, not be excluded from decisions that affect us.</p> <p>Creating new local government boundaries drawing lines between our areas will formalise and over time reinforce differences between communities, reducing community cohesion.</p> <p>Also, splitting us up into smaller councils with different levels of council tax precept will reinforce inequality within our community. I believe we should be lifting each other up rather than caring for just our own neighbourhood and not the rest of Bournemouth - this doesn't help the wealthier areas in the long run either. The future of Bournemouth Town Centre, or our seafront, matters to us all, wherever we live.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K291	Bournemouth Town	<p>I will take A to H as a whole.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the creation of a town council for Bournemouth for the same reason as objecting to a Poole Council.</p> <p>It is another layer of bureaucracy and expense for residents.</p> <p>Not one of my residents have indicated they are in favour, quite the opposite. both of my large residents groups are against, the Bournemouth one is Talbot and Branksome woods residents assn, with over 1,500 Members.</p> <p>The proposals split my ward of Talbot and Branksome woods, dividing an established community and weakening democracy.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K292	Bournemouth Town	I) The council was strained int, so the creation of another layer of governance and more councillors will only add to confusion as to who is responsible for what. The council should concentrate on ensuring they carry out the services to the best standard with the decision makers already in place. BCP was supposed to make things simpler - things don't need to be made more complicated	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K293	Bournemouth Town	It seems that the creation of the BCP council has resulted in equalities for councillors and residents. Ideally, to reduce overheads, I would supprt one council for the main BCP conurbation and only outlying areas having a parish council. Generally, if local government overheads could be reduced, more funds could be directed towards improving the declining public services in the BCP area.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
K294	Bournemouth Town	Just a stupid idea	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K295	Bournemouth Town	Just another layer of bureaucracy that will require additional funding to establish and maintain.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
K296	Bournemouth Town	More unnecessary bureaucracy and cost to local residents.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K297	Bournemouth Town	My objections to a Bournemouth Town council are broadly similar to my objections to a Poole Town council. It is too big.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K298	Bournemouth Town	No comment	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K299	Bournemouth Town	No need to create yet more levels of complexity and costs	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
K300	Bournemouth Town	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K301	Bournemouth Town	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
K302	Bournemouth Town	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
K303	Bournemouth Town	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K304	Bournemouth Town	Recommendations a to h refer to the creation of a new parish. I disagree with setting up any new parishes.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K305	Bournemouth Town	Should be an independent council as previous to 2019 with inclusion of Redhill & Northbourne, Boscombe and Pokesdown, and Southbourne.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
K306	Bournemouth Town	Strongly disagree - complete waste of time and money. Will lead to unnecessary layers of bureaucracy, which will confuse residents. We do not need more councillors, with the added cost this will bring. It will add an extra burden to the council tax payer when many people are struggling with the cost of living, to pay for service that BCP Council should already be delivering. Why should we pay twice for the same services. BCP was formed to bring legacy council together to make vital savings - this proposal is just going backwards and recreating a larger, more cumbersome service structure	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
K307	Bournemouth Town	The creation of a Bournemouth Town Council would make a mockery of the recent reorganisation of local government arrangements and the formation of BCP. Had the case for a town council been put forward at that time then it may well have weakened the case for the new three Council authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K308	Bournemouth Town	The current system, for all its failings, can provide adequate representation of ward interests through existing ward councillors. We do not need more local government we just need better implementation of the current system so it works better for council tax payers. Keep the current Charter Trustees please. If the proposed changes are effected 45 new councillors would be needed for the BCP area plus the additional cost of more accommodation and salaried personnel to support them. (The cost of this community consultation has already accrued £100,000). The size of the new Poole and Bournemouth Town councils will make them 2nd and 3rd largest in the whole of the UK. I disagree very strongly because I do not think they will be a benefit but will do harm.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K309	Bournemouth Town	The existing Council should spend time, effort and money on improving services and efficiency for the benefit of the local Taxpayers. Adding more layers of confusion and creating more inefficiency is not what we need. Improving the existing council is needed more than the proposed idea.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K310	Bournemouth Town	The idea of a Bournemouth Town Council that only covers a small part of Bournemouth is confusing and ridiculous. I prefer the submission 38 that Bournemouth Town Council covers the area currently covered by the Charter Trustees of Bournemouth.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
K311	Bournemouth Town	The ward is too big and splits my current ward into both Poole and Bournemouth	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 1
K312	Bournemouth Town	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
K313	Bournemouth Town	This extra layer of local government will be useless and powerless but will put up council tax.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K314	Bournemouth Town	This feels totally unnecessary - its just another layer of bureaucracy - if BCP worked well it would not be necessary at all. Please dont do this. There is plenty of stuff that needs to be done without creating things to do that dont add any value.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K315	Bournemouth Town	This is an outrageous cost to our community, especially after everything was already set up similar before you changed to BCP. STOP wasting money and make do with what you have already done. Going backwards is ripping out our purse strings yet again.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
K316	Bournemouth Town	This is much too big for a town council	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
K317	Bournemouth Town	<p>This proposal , as with that for Poole , is simply an attempt to re-establish a town council for Bournemouth , as is totally contrary to the defined parameters of this Community Governance Review</p> <p>The increase in Council tax to accommodate a further 38 local councillors will be huge and totally unwarranted</p> <p>Further , with these "town Councils" having to report and consult with the overarching BCP (which will likely have to report a Regional Mayor) will only add to the delay ; cost and a host of demarquation disputes regarding services</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
K318	Bournemouth Town	This represents another layer of bureaucracy throughout the area with additional costs. We have only just got rid of the 'original' layers of local government at considerable cost (although it was sold as a cost saving). Now you intend to set up all these additional layers. It implies the original decision was wrong - we end up paying for your mistakes!!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K319	Bournemouth Town	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult DISAGREE</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K320	Bournemouth Town	This would be a very large area compared to the other proposed parish councils. BCP was formed for very sound reasons, including economies of scale. I cannot see any sensible purpose in creating a further level of bureaucracy at greater cost to the residents.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K321	Bournemouth Town	To all, see my previous comments about Redhill and Northbourne. And unless the formation of a Town Council will somehow magic up some more money, and better ideas of how to revive the town centre (Which BCP should already be concentrating a good bit of time and resource on), then what would be the point?	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
K322	Bournemouth Town	Too large.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K323	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
K324	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy. Too many members of the electorate required to elect a councillor compared with other proposed parishes therefore undemocratic.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K325	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary level of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH14 8
K326	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary levels of Council duplication in various levels	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
K327	Bournemouth Town	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
K328	Bournemouth Town	Unnecessary.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
K329	Bournemouth Town	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K330	Bournemouth Town	We already have too many layers of council administration and councillors	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K331	Bournemouth Town	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K332	Bournemouth Town	We should not be adding extra layers of governance and bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K333	Bournemouth Town	We've only just joined together as bcp are you now saying this was a mistake? this is an extra layer of cost and we cannot afford all these councillors	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
K334	Bournemouth Town	You are simply adding another layer of beurocracy which is both costly and inefficient. The costs of Christchurch have proven that this model does not improve output, efficiency or user satisfaction.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K335	Bournemouth Town	Don't know how much value is added to the community by Parish councils	Don't know/not sure	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q58 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K336	Bournemouth Town	You didn't let us vote to combine 3 town councils, now you want to divide them and likely charge us more tax. No. If you want to divide then give us back the three separate town councils.	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
K337	Bournemouth Town	b. Bournemouth Town should be the town only not outlying areas as in Christchurch.	Neither agree nor disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
K338	Bournemouth Town	Cost of new council	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
K339	Bournemouth Town	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K340	Bournemouth Town	It makes no difference, as the council do nothing about the heroin addicts shooting up outside Asda, the police don't care and do nothing	Neither agree nor disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	West Purbeck	BH20 5

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K341	Bournemouth Town	I might be swayed to approve if it meant that are food bin would be emptied regularly, but as this comes under BCP this situation will not be improved.		Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
K342	Bournemouth Town	<p>The fact that the will be no limit on the council tax charge means that any discretionary spending will be loaded onto the new town council. So we will end up with more waste and councillors and more council tax, albeit under some other name. The efficiency savings of joining the three councils together are far from clear and this just highlights that all politicians really want is more places for more politicians. I sincerely doubt that the pool of people who will sit on these councils will be any different from the pool that the current BCP draws from. This is just a money grab.</p> <p>The fact that FAQs say these no chance not to do this shows there is no real consultation, this will be driven through regardless.</p>		Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K343	Bournemouth Town	A clear line of responsibility established to ensure the council is fit for purpose and acts on the wishes of tax payers.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K344	Bournemouth Town	Could Bournemouth Town Council include the whole of the Charter Trustees for Bournemouth area, to bring the whole of Bournemouth together as one?	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K345	Bournemouth Town	I am concerned about the disparity in ratios of electors to councillors across the whole of the BCP area. Some parishes appear to have much larger numbers of electors per councillor and this does not seem equitable.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K346	Bournemouth Town	I think the draft recommendations will go a long way towards restoring local democracy in Bournemouth, but I think that the inclusion of Kinson, Wallisdown, Moordown, and Winton might be doing a disservice to those communities as they have a definite identity separate from that of Bournemouth. Winton had a council with urban district status before it was merged with Bournemouth, and Kinson had a separate parish council as recently as the 1930s.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K347	Bournemouth Town	I would like to see improvements to Bournemouth town centre	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K348	Bournemouth Town	Kinson should be moved to the Redhill & Northbourne ward as issues local to Kinson would more likely affect the Redhill & Northbourne area as well.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
K349	Bournemouth Town	Merge with Redhill and Boscombe, halve the number of councillors	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
K350	Bournemouth Town	More powers should be delegated to parish council. As much as possible away from the corrupt BCP	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K351	Bournemouth Town	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
K352	Bournemouth Town	No.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K353	Bournemouth Town	None	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K354	Bournemouth Town	We need an overall plan with guidelines as to what type of property and shop fronts should be allowed. It's become hotchpotch and low standard , counter productive to generating a successful place to live and do business. This needs to area specific otherwise we are forgotten.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K355	Bournemouth Town	(a) I see absolutely no need for another level of local government. Cumbersome and will add to cost. We used to have area associations with regular meetings which were interesting but of no use in transmitting local views to the Council. We already have local councillors to whom we can communication views, complaints etc.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K356	Bournemouth Town	1. Conflict of interests by councillors - particularly if unopposed. 2. Lack of expertise on infrastructure etc. 3. Lack of accountability - particularly if councillors are appointed rather than elected.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K357	Bournemouth Town	All covered by my answer in 16.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K358	Bournemouth Town	All the services identified 'as such' are currently funded and delivered by BCP. Should these proposals be implemented the outcome will be an extra level of local government, increased confusion as to whom is responsible for which service and a significant increase of administrative cost (1%) for no actual public benefit. It is for BCP to improve the quality of its statutory services, not to delegate them!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 9
K359	Bournemouth Town	Any council for Bournemouth should include a all parts of the town including those that have separate proposals within this consultation e.g. Southbourne and Boscombe.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K360	Bournemouth Town	Any substantial changes to the proposals presented here must be consulted on again. I would be more supportive of Springbourne joining Boscombe & Pokesdown Community Council than the current Bournemouth Town Council proposal	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K361	Bournemouth Town	Any such change in local government as big, and potentially costly as this, should be put to the vote by the electorate. I only heard of this change from word of mouth by other concerned residents and as such, nearly missed out on my chance to have a say. Nothing was advertised or put through our door. This feels like an attempt to push through this change quietly without opposition.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K362	Bournemouth Town	As above	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K363	Bournemouth Town	As above	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K364	Bournemouth Town	BCP Council is already responsible for the duties and responsibilities that will be duplicated by the proposed town council. There is no value added and no obvious benefits to local residents or BCP as a whole but plenty of reasons why it is unnecessary to stretch the already underfunded resources we already have. The cost will yet again fall upon local council tax payers to fund this unwarranted scheme. Existing resources should be used to improve and enhance access to all current BCP services which it already delivers for all residents. To me this smacks of someone's expensive vanity legacy project ad there is no local demand for it in this area. Just providing stationery, admin support and office space and equipment for these proposed town and parish councils will cost money - I'd rather it was spent on delivering services, sorting out children's play areas, reducing anti social behaviour and improving the environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH11 8
K365	Bournemouth Town	BCP Council should concentrate on the urgent need for regeneration of Bournemouth town centre and stop trying to create more levels of administration. Have the Councillors nothing better to do?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K366	Bournemouth Town	BCP council would be fine if money not diverted disproportionately to statutory responsibilities and a focus given to providing for all residents	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K367	Bournemouth Town	Bonkers idea!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH7 7
K368	Bournemouth Town	Calling Town Council Bournemouth Town makes no sense when some parts of Bournemouth have there own Parishes.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K369	Bournemouth Town	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K370	Bournemouth Town	Don't do it!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K371	Bournemouth Town	Don't do it, you spend far too much of our money on fancifull useless schemes as it is	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K372	Bournemouth Town	Don't do it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
K373	Bournemouth Town	Don't do it. No interest.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K374	Bournemouth Town	First and foremost. What was the point of creating BCP council only then to massively increase the number of councillors and bureaucracy which this crazy scheme will entail. I am also led to believe that there will be a precept charge added to what is already a massive council tax bill, for which we get dreadful roads, poor drain and street sweeping and general malaise of our town.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K375	Bournemouth Town	Forget the proposal.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K376	Bournemouth Town	Have ward area assemblies. Advertise BCP more. This survey was tucked away in a corner in Charminster library easily by passed. Improve connections with local areas and people. The current council staff could respond to emails about road safety and speed issues, environment ideas. often contact made falls on deaf ears so responding would be nice. Have meet the Council coffee events. Basically be Bournemouth Council as was, but better, within the BCP amalgamation	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
K377	Bournemouth Town	Having an extra layer means residents don't know who to complain to re services and both councils can blame each other.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
K378	Bournemouth Town	I am concerned this process is being used to transfer BCP assets to a level of government. Under-resourced local town and parish councils will not be able to deliver the quality of service required and will create unequal provision across the conurbation.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K379	Bournemouth Town	I am not prepared to pay more for this. As it is we pay far too much council tax for a failing town. We already have local councillors who we are unable to see as they don't hold meetings. Waste of time all of them	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
K380	Bournemouth Town	I am wholly opposed to the proposals for the detailed reasons stated in my submission dated 9 June 2025.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K381	Bournemouth Town	I believe we should be allowed to set up our proposed neighbourhood schemes. If this is not an option we should be allowed to be a parish in our own right with east cliff. The areas of a 'Bournemouth town' parish are far too diverse to be bracketed together and I feel we would be over looked with the work we are already doing costing far too much to tax payers with zero return	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K382	Bournemouth Town	I have nothing further to say.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K383	Bournemouth Town	I remember the 3 town councils and how difficult it was to get things done. This feels like going backwards but with more councils in place, making things even more complicated and difficult to gain agreement. Why? Argue the case better if you think this is a good idea. What are the expected outcomes for local residents and on what basis do you think they will be delivered. Other councils with parishes' are trying to collapse the, because they do not deliver!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K384	Bournemouth Town	I should like to see efforts to make the current council work more efficiently rather than introduce an additional tier.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH2 6
K385	Bournemouth Town	I want more focus on the town centre and building affordable homes as priorities. Council tax in this area is already high	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K386	Bournemouth Town	I would like the proposals and costs made more available to all residents by post so they have the opportunity to give views and or object and I think this should be done before any decision is made - I only found out through a conservative leaflet - BCP themselves should be sending letters and or leaflets I out not assume people will look at thier website and fill i. This survey - to be truly democratic it should be put to a public vote -	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
K387	Bournemouth Town	I would like to see any spare cash spent on addressing infrastructure issues such as potholes and the redevelopment of derelict retail areas. The local authority should also support pro-active policing to reduce drug dealing, other antisocial behaviour and petty crime which plagues my locality, rather than 'rearranging the deckchairs on the Titanic'. If money has to be spent on yet more re-organisation of local govt. I would prefer to see the establishment of an elected mayoralty to improve accountability rather than subsidising cliques of local busybodies to argue about bus shelters.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH8 8
K388	Bournemouth Town	I would like to see BCP get on with doing the job they are designed to do and stop coming up with ways take more money out of the pockets of ordinary Bournemouth folk.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
K389	Bournemouth Town	I would like to see such proposed changes promulgated more actively, but perhaps the BCP council discourages active discourse, participation and democracy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K390	Bournemouth Town	I would like to see the elected Councillors and the appointed Officers spending their time on constructive and practical matters rather than navel gazing and theoretical abstractions, seemingly designed to raise taxes and add to bureaucracy, while Bournemouth is falling apart.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K391	Bournemouth Town	idk	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K392	Bournemouth Town	If it is agreed to form a Poole Town Council, it would be a nonsense for there not to be a Bournemouth Town Council, although I disagree with both.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K393	Bournemouth Town	If our existing ward councillors on BCP council are doing there jobs well, none of this is necessary at all. It's not that big an area to need another layer of people than already exists to manage it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
K394	Bournemouth Town	If this proposal is felt absolutely necessary, why not go back to have Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch as it was?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K395	Bournemouth Town	If you do go zhead they all need to be of z similar size. This just re creates bournemouth z total waste of time	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
K396	Bournemouth Town	Improve especially Bournemouth town centre without creating another level of politician. We really don't need any more.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K397	Bournemouth Town	Instead of creating new Councils to do the jobs for BCP Council, BCP Council need to improve their own services.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
K398	Bournemouth Town	It is a waste of time, either cancel it or do it properly and redirect control from the main council, reducing its size to let parishes take over.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K399	Bournemouth Town	It is not needed. With Graham Farrant retiring we have a perfect opportunity to do some joined up and collaborative work with all the departments in the council rather than adding more issues for the future.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 3
K400	Bournemouth Town	It is only trying to copy the template of Christchurch having parish councils when BCP was created. The parish councils had their own financial resources which did not form part of the BCP pot of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH2 5
K401	Bournemouth Town	It would be a absolute disaster to have another layer of snouts in the trough. Monumentally stupid idea.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K402	Bournemouth Town	It would be better if Bournemouth could be split into smaller parishes. As a minimum there could be a North Bournemouth Parish and South/coastal Parish.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K403	Bournemouth Town	Keep as is it, as one BCP responsible for all its services.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K404	Bournemouth Town	Keep things as they are. Change only costs more and for people on limited incomes we cannot afford to pay more.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
K405	Bournemouth Town	My impression based on discussions, review of social media, comments on articles etc present a very clear consensus on perceived issues in the area (declining businesses, overpriced parking, homelessness, safety, lack of funding with 'all the money spent on cycle lanes', cancelled air show and so on). The effort to set up and liaise with a new layer of bureaucracy, coupled with a dilution of responsibilities doesn't address any of these.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K406	Bournemouth Town	Neighbourhood Plans Draft Recommendation Document @ 5.7 states " all functions listed in paragraph 5.4 except for allotments are discretionary." 5.4 shows Neighbourhood Plans as Statutory. This is INCORRECT and misleading as most people will look at the table for information (Gunning Principle).	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K407	Bournemouth Town	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K408	Bournemouth Town	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K409	Bournemouth Town	No change from the present arrangements, please.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K410	Bournemouth Town	NO CHANGES NECESSARY	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
K411	Bournemouth Town	No need to increase just make sure that you have the best resources and use them.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K412	Bournemouth Town	No, other than to say that this seems to go against everything we were told leading up to the formation of BCP, to have one local authority, to save costs and be more efficient and joined up. If we now need to have this other layer of local government, then BCP did not need to form and we should have stayed as separate unitary authorities. We didn't require a parish council when we just Bournemouth Borough Council, so why now?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K413	Bournemouth Town	No.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH11 8
K414	Bournemouth Town	No. My feelings above are very clear and I shall not be paying additional council tax if this goes ahead when the majority of the electors have expressed they do not want this	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K415	Bournemouth Town	Not really sure of the benefit of going down this process . It would result in another 115 new councillors and associated costs	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K416	Bournemouth Town	<p>Not wanted by residents. Too big an area - left overs.</p> <p>I support genuinely local, community-led models of governance — where change is driven by residents and rooted in neighbourhood identity. That's not what I see with the current proposal for a Bournemouth Town Council. It feels imposed rather than supported.</p> <p>Here in East Cliff and Springbourne, we've already spent over two years working towards a Neighbourhood Forum and Plan under the Localism Act 2011. This process has been collaborative, inclusive, and reflects the specific needs and aspirations of our diverse community. I believe this is the right model for us — one that gives residents a real voice in planning, local priorities, and investment, without adding unnecessary layers of bureaucracy or cost.</p> <p>Strong local governance should grow from the ground up — shaped by those who live, work, and care about the area — not handed down from above. I remain committed to a resident-led approach and believe our existing path through Neighbourhood Planning offers the best opportunity for meaningful local empowerment.</p> <p>---</p> <p>STATEMENT FOR THE COMMUNITY GOVERNANCE CONSULTATION Cllr Sara Armstrong – East Cliff & Springbourne</p> <p>I support genuinely local, community-led models of governance — where change is driven by residents and rooted in neighbourhood identity. That's not what I see with the current proposal for a Bournemouth Town Council. It feels imposed rather than supported.</p> <p>Here in East Cliff and Springbourne, we've already spent over two years working towards a Neighbourhood Forum and Plan under the Localism Act 2011. This process has been collaborative, inclusive, and reflects the specific needs and aspirations of our diverse community. I believe this is the right model for us — one that gives residents a real voice in planning, local priorities, and investment, without adding unnecessary layers of bureaucracy or cost.</p> <p>Strong local governance should grow from the ground up — shaped by those who live, work, and care about the area — not handed down from above. I remain committed to a resident-led approach and believe our existing path through Neighbourhood Planning offers the best opportunity for meaningful local empowerment.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K417	Bournemouth Town	<p>Once the government has completed it's latest round of local government reorganisation then this should be revisited particularly as we do not know whether the national government as part of the efficiency reorganisation my abolish them nationally</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K418	Bournemouth Town	One expects the people running BCP to be accountable to the voters. Those working for BCP to do their jobs.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K419	Bournemouth Town	Parish Councils are a wasteful extra layer of bureaucracy. The budget for our Parks department, for example, has been cut every year for over a decade. So how can a new parish council create additional income?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K420	Bournemouth Town	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
K421	Bournemouth Town	Please advertise this level of change more clearly and get the residents to vote	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
K422	Bournemouth Town	Please do not change the current situation. You will merely be burdening people with unnecessary expenditure. My worry is that the matter has been put out for consultation but the outcome has already been decided.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K423	Bournemouth Town	Please do not do this to us. These minor councillors will be petty dictators playing at god.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K424	Bournemouth Town	Please do not undermine the responsibility of the current Councillors by giving them, and the Parish Councillors, an opportunity to operate a blame game for inaction. It will become even more of a political football if the persuasion of the respective tier elected members is of opposing colours!!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K425	Bournemouth Town	Please don't do it	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
K426	Bournemouth Town	Please see above.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K427	Bournemouth Town	PLEASE USE £19.9M GRANT FROM THE GOVERNMENT WISELY - INCREASE HOSPITAL AND WALK-IN CLINICS STAFFING; REMOVE BEGGARS NEAR SHOPS, FINE OFFENDERS WALKING VICIOUS DOGS TO THE PUBLIC SPACES.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K428	Bournemouth Town	Poor.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K429	Bournemouth Town	Scrap the proposals	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH8 8
K430	Bournemouth Town	See above	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K431	Bournemouth Town	See above. Stop wasting my money, you work for me.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
K432	Bournemouth Town	Someone responsible for getting the best price - it is well know you can charge the council what you like as no one questions the amount, get discounts for regular use etc. Be careful with our money and don't waste it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K433	Bournemouth Town	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authroity cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K434	Bournemouth Town	Surely this defeats the premise of the amalgamation to form BCP Council and creates a more unwieldy bureaucratic structure. Wouldn't it be better to work on making improvements to the existing structure than trying to change it again	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K435	Bournemouth Town	The Charter Trustees for Bournemouth was only established in 2019 and should continue as such.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K436	Bournemouth Town	<p>The area currently has a successful local governance model in the form of the Queen's Park & Charminster Community Forum. It is an existing, grass roots, community group, operating according to the Localism Act (2011), with well-defined boundaries that have been consulted on. The boundaries include our green spaces, retail centre, heritage buildings and residential neighbourhoods.</p> <p>Considerable time, effort and expense has been put into the development of a draft Neighbourhood Plan that will be going out for consultation shortly. This will make the forum a statutory consultee for planning decisions and secure additional CIL funding at the closest point to where development takes place. While a town council could co-opt the Neighbourhood Plan, without a forum to ensure continuity we would fear that it would fall by the wayside at the end of its five-year term and not be renewed.</p> <p>The forum also operates a community charity which has been able to secure nearly £100,000 in grant funding in the past year. These funds are being used to repair, regenerate and upgrade our playparks, green spaces and recreation offers, as well as launching community initiatives to tackle fly tipping, graffiti and more.</p> <p>The forum works at the most granular community level for practically no cost to residents, without the need for expensive administration, additional council tax precept or a new layer of elected bureaucracy.</p> <p>The currently proposed Bournemouth Town Council was not arrived at by consultation from the communities within that area, instead it seems to be acting as a kind of "catch all" for the areas of Bournemouth that sit outside the community generated proposals from the first round of consultations.</p> <p>No submissions were made from our area and there remains no appetite for parish councils in this part of Bournemouth. We would urge the administration to allow our successful model of community governance to continue to deliver for its community and not be subsumed into a town council that is not supported by the residents who live here.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K437	Bournemouth Town	<p>The current structure of local governance should remain in place.</p> <p>Communities are already represented by their elected Councillors. Those communities that wish to have greater autonomy can undertake neighbourhood plans or set up parish councils themselves.</p> <p>There is also a lack of information to make an informed decision. For example, the monetary implications of such a change (both to set up and to implement longer term) in terms of impact on Council Tax fates both now and in the future are a valid consideration ie payment for councillor roles and expenses. This needs to be clearly conveyed and in the absence of this the process cannot be considered transparent.</p> <p>There is a lack of clarity over the process – could elected Councillors be parish councillors.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
K438	Bournemouth Town	<p>The existing structures you've got in place with Bournemouth and Poole, the secondary layer underneath which by the sounds of it are currently only ceremonial, could be broad in their purpose in times of representations and engagement. The establishment of a whole new parish and town structure, which in language alone feels out of date and irrelevant to our area, particularly since BCPs in inception, feels like a really misguided proposal that only really makes sense to those that are lost in the depths of local government.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K439	Bournemouth Town	<p>The proposals are unnecessary, costly and provide NO advantages to BCP residents. BCP should concentrate on fulfilling its responsibility, without attempting to increase already high local taxes by stealth. An admission that the creation of BCP was a mistake.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K440	Bournemouth Town	<p>The proposals for separate community councils for several parts of the former borough of Bournemouth (Redhill, Northbourne, Boscombe, Pokesdown, Southbourne) result in this proposal feeling very much like "the leftovers" rather than a cohesive whole representing a community. I appreciate that the intention behind proposing a "Rest of Bournemouth" structure is to provide parity with those areas that have requested parishing, but unfortunately I don't feel that this proposal would achieve that. Rather than the new town council representing a recognisable community, it would be made up of fairly disparate separate areas of our large town, partly separated by other smaller community councils. The result would be a very odd authority that was trying to meet the needs of, for example, Kinson and the town centre at the same time - two very different communities with very different needs. I believe that successful parishing of Bournemouth needs to take one of two approaches - either the WHOLE of Bournemouth should have a single town council, or the town should be split into sensible and recognisable, smaller community councils. The current proposal falls between two stools and makes no sense, especially since the Bournemouth Town Council proposal has no clear public support.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
K441	Bournemouth Town	<p>The whole ill thought out idea should be forgotten. It cannot be justified on grounds of democracy or a need for improved governance. Also BCP Council should be looking to limit increases to council tax rather than introduce a further cost element to its residents.</p> <p>If despite objections the Council decides to take this proposal forward then the proposed Bournemouth Town Council area is far too large. In particular the eastern part comprising Littledown and Iford (where I live) has little or no affinity with areas such as Kinson, Wallisdown, Winton etc. We are much closer to Pokesdown and Boscombe and Southbourne areas where we shop, socialise, volunteer and generally interact. Accordingly, if this proposal does go ahead the parish/town councils should be of more equal size and reflect the relationship between areas and their residents.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K442	Bournemouth Town	There is no need for more bureaucracy and the blurring of responsibilities	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K443	Bournemouth Town	There seems to be no compelling reason, in all the documents and videos, for creating another layer of government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K444	Bournemouth Town	These proposals are clearly unnecessary and are designed to obfuscate responsibility and add cost for the taxpayer.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
K445	Bournemouth Town	These proposals hack off the "nice areas" and leave the less affluent/ less beautiful areas all lumped together. It feels like I am being "partitioned"! The Councillors will be overwhelmed in terms of the voters they represent. The outlying areas do not need to become new council areas. It will lead to yet another tier of social inequality in this area.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
K446	Bournemouth Town	Think residents should be asked again if they want a smaller parish council. Not enough people knew about it. It was rushed through	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K447	Bournemouth Town	This is not needed. No local taxpayers are asking for additional costs/council tax raises involved in parish council creation! Please stop this nonsense.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
K448	Bournemouth Town	This whole scheme has been very poorly publicised, and obviously a lot of money has been spent preparing it. I feel this consultation is far from democratic.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH7 7
K449	Bournemouth Town	Totally unnecessary.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K450	Bournemouth Town	Turnout for local elections is already poor, I foresee that parish councils would be even less attractive to voters.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K451	Bournemouth Town	Unacceptable.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K452	Bournemouth Town	Unecessary layer of local governance	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K453	Bournemouth Town	We do not need more staff, wages & admin. BCP is already nearly bankrupt. Don't add to the problem!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH2 5
K454	Bournemouth Town	We do not need parish councils as well as BCP. That is unnecessary, costly and would lead to certain matters not being considered by either.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K455	Bournemouth Town	We have just had a major change in 2019, let's give it a chance to bed in and work.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
K456	Bournemouth Town	We just don't need any more "councillors" (overheads)	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
K457	Bournemouth Town	We need parish councils or BCP, not both	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
K458	Bournemouth Town	Why bother, the only advantage is to the BCP Council who will be able to shed some fiscal responsibilities and charge a supplementary rate to run the parish councils.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Winton East	BH9 2
K459	Bournemouth Town	You have indicated the Town Councillors will have very little "powers" apart from consultations on bus stops and something else. What are they doing, apart from a talking forum?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
K460	Bournemouth Town	BCP council should do what the taxpayers want them to do i.e restore the prosperity of the area and reverse the decline in public services, the transport system, law and order etc	Don't know/not sure	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
K461	Bournemouth Town	I am not sure of the background to this draft. Is BCP not serving it's residents as it should, or equitably? Overall I like the idea but I'm not clear on what the benefits would be.	Don't know/not sure	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K462	Bournemouth Town	A further level of inconsistency exists in the recommendations by the inclusion of Town Parishes. In many cases smaller parishes are recommended within the historic towns of BCP. The recommended Town Parishes seem to be a mopping up of areas which are not recommended for smaller parishes, with absolutely no logical basis. This is most particularly evident in Bournemouth,.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
K463	Bournemouth Town	I would ideally like the process of planning permission to be kept simple and low-overhead - adding extra layers of local curtain twitchers to the process isn't going to help anyone.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
K464	Bournemouth Town	N/A	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K465	Bournemouth Town	No	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living in proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
K466	Bournemouth Town	You won't listen		Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH14 0
K467	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth central ward is a center for shopping, work and entertainment and recreation for the whole conurbation and beyond. All wards should have a say on its future. Badly needed is a bus station. Please reserve a site Triangle or Westover road? It should provide protection from rain and wind, seating, information/tickets and toilets and possibly a refreshment concession. It need not be an eye sore. I have been continental [unknown] with extensive tree cover.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K468	Bournemouth Town	As the new Bournemouth Town Council will take over some/all areas currently mismanaged by BCP Council, an increase in Council Tax should not be necessary.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
K469	Bournemouth Town	b) add Boscombe and Redhill f)g) and h) reduce the number of councillors to around 15	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K470	Bournemouth Town	<p>Bournemouth must not be left behind.</p> <p>Without a town council:</p> <p>Bournemouth would be the only major area in BCP without full local governance powers.</p> <p>Residents would continue to pay for a Charter Trustee body that lacks operational effectiveness.</p> <p>There is a real risk of disparity in funding, representation, and service delivery when compared to Poole, Christchurch, Southbourne, and other parished areas.</p> <p>This review offers a vital opportunity to modernise Bournemouth's local democracy, reduce unnecessary costs to taxpayers, and put residents on an equal footing with their neighbours.</p> <p>A well-structured town council—appropriately staffed and supported—would also create capacity for delivering community-driven initiatives, managing local spaces, and facilitating a strategic neighbourhood plan.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
K471	Bournemouth Town	Get rid of BCP council and leave just three separate, independent single tier authorities for Christchurch, Poole and Bournemouth.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
K472	Bournemouth Town	Good luck	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K473	Bournemouth Town	If it would improve the area around the centre then yes. I understand if a Town then there would be a Mayor.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K474	Bournemouth Town	If this happens I just hope it isn't a lot of talking about reorganisation and fuss but a way to actually get things done by people who understand the local area. Most things take too long or after a planning stage just never happen. I live in Boscombe...it was being regenerated before 2030..... Is anything actually happening ? Not really and its 2025 already! Please just get on with this if it is going to happen.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K475	Bournemouth Town	Money should come from existing funding	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
K476	Bournemouth Town	No.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
K477	Bournemouth Town	Nothings thanks	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K478	Bournemouth Town	please let's have the gardens back how they use to be not the Christmas Wonderland but the frames with candles in the Jar	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
K479	Bournemouth Town	The benefits to the Town would be immense and I am disappointed that all Ward Councillors cannot see that a Town Council would be a good thing. Replacing the Charter Trustees with a Town Council and increasing the precept which is already being paid to the Charter Trustees, would enable much more investment in the Town and in Community Groups, sports clubs, play parks etc.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K480	Bournemouth Town	The changes proposed would be beneficial and appropriate.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
K481	Bournemouth Town	The issue of twinning must be made a remit of the town council	Agree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K482	Bournemouth Town	The merge has had a huge financial burden on Poole without advantage to Poole. The creation of councillors places further burdens.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
K483	Bournemouth Town	The population is too large for One Parish and could have been sub-divided. While it will be Bournemouth Town Council - the area of the Town Centre is remote for some of the Wards. In fact, they have their own centres of identity. Quite suitable for Parishes of their own. This is a political rush job.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K484	Bournemouth Town	<p>Yes. As Chair of the Boscombe and Pokesdown Community Forum, I wish to express concern over the process and underlying assumptions that have shaped the draft proposal for a Bournemouth Town Council.</p> <p>While the intent to ensure parity across the BCP area is understandable, the proposed Bournemouth Town Council lacks a clear identity, defined purpose, or community mandate. It has not emerged from a public movement or neighbourhood-based advocacy, and as such, it feels imposed rather than organic. There has been no meaningful consultation on how such a town council would operate, what it would deliver, or how it would engage residents. A council of this scale risks duplicating the former Bournemouth Borough Council, rather than creating a responsive, community-focused structure.</p> <p>We are also concerned by the risk of Boscombe and Pokesdown being drawn into this model by default, should our separate community council proposal not proceed. This was stated as a possibility during a public meeting of the Forum, in reference to the issue of Charter Trustees — namely, that Boscombe and Pokesdown might be the only unparished area, preventing the Trustees from being dissolved. This is not acceptable practice. Our area should not be absorbed into a much larger structure simply to resolve an administrative gap — especially when there is no consultation asking us if we wish to join the proposed Town Council.</p> <p>We therefore request the following:</p> <p>That the Bournemouth Town Council proposal be reconsidered unless it can demonstrate genuine community demand and a clearer governance rationale.</p> <p>That any future inclusion of Boscombe and Pokesdown in this structure must be subject to separate, transparent consultation, not imposed by default.</p> <p>That the Council continue to support smaller-scale, community-led governance, such as the proposed Boscombe and Pokesdown Community Council, which has emerged through years of resident engagement and aligns with the principles of neighbourhood democracy.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		Ultimately, the governance model for Bournemouth must be shaped not by administrative convenience but by community identity, local involvement, and democratic legitimacy.					
K485	Bournemouth Town	6 years ago bournemouth council was merged with poole and christchurch, on the grounds of efficiency. What has changed over the last 6 years to mean that governance needs to change	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K486	Bournemouth Town	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K487	Bournemouth Town	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
K488	Bournemouth Town	All of the draft proposals should be rejected.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K489	Bournemouth Town	All. Creates general confusion as to who is responsible for all services. Does not help community cohesion. Improve your own performance before creating additional beaurocracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH15 4
K490	Bournemouth Town	As 20 above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH21 1
K491	Bournemouth Town	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
K492	Bournemouth Town	As above.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K493	Bournemouth Town	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
K494	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth should go back to being its own council and remove all powers over Poole and Christchurch.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
K495	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth Town does need a lot of attention but this does not need to be via a town Council. BCP should be able to do this without setting up a Town Council.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K496	Bournemouth Town	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
K497	Bournemouth Town	Disagree with the draft recommendations for Bournemouth for the following reasons: a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
K498	Bournemouth Town	Do something about the sad state of Bournemouth town centre instead of wasting money on Parish Councils.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K499	Bournemouth Town	Don't do it, waste of money.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
K500	Bournemouth Town	Double bureaucracy costs extra because the different layers have to communicate	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
K501	Bournemouth Town	Focus on getting existing people to do the jobs that they are paid to do effectively.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K502	Bournemouth Town	Give Poole Town back the £10million you stole from us and repair our town centre	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
K503	Bournemouth Town	I agree with ward boundary changes to reflect the numbers of voters within wards and prevent electoral imbalances.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K504	Bournemouth Town	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
K505	Bournemouth Town	I believe it is very challenging to find a good solution for Bournemouth, because unlike Poole, residents don't feel that there is any threat to the identity of their town. For this reason, many Bournemouth residents will look at this review in a very different way to Poole residents and I feel that it is important that we respect this difference, when deciding on a solution.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
K506	Bournemouth Town	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K507	Bournemouth Town	<p>I think instead of trying to create several large councils all at once, BCP should support smaller areas to develop parish councils more gradually and organically, where there's real local interest and momentum. That way, the structures that emerge would be more rooted in each community's actual needs and identity, rather than imposed from the top down.</p> <p>My concern is that this process feels rushed, and there's a risk it's being driven more by BCP's financial pressures than by the aspirations of local people. It's important that any changes to local governance are about giving residents a stronger voice — not just finding new vehicles to shift costs onto communities.</p> <p>Let people come together and build what makes sense for them over time. That's how real civic engagement happens — not by doing it all in one go.</p>	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
K508	Bournemouth Town	I think the social spaces are wonderful in Bournemouth, especially the gardens, clean beaches and roads. All I can suggest is making volunteering easier as I would happily help clear leaves from the pavements for example if I had a way of disposing of them.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
K509	Bournemouth Town	Ideally another solution should be found to the issues raised by the Poole Charter trustees. Having only relatively recently merged the Bournemouth and Poole councils to save money, this seems to be a retrograde step	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
K510	Bournemouth Town	If a council for Bournemouth is a good idea, let's scrap BCP and put things back the way they were.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K511	Bournemouth Town	Include the whole of Wallisdown so that it comes under Bournemouth Council, not Alderney Ward..	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 5
K512	Bournemouth Town	It should NOT go ahead	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6
K513	Bournemouth Town	It's an unnecessary layer of Bureaucracy which entails additional costs	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K514	Bournemouth Town	Just as above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Hamworthy	BH16 5
K515	Bournemouth Town	<p>Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
K516	Bournemouth Town	Less bureaucracy and transparency is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
K517	Bournemouth Town	No	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K518	Bournemouth Town	No	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K519	Bournemouth Town	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K520	Bournemouth Town	Please consider the consultation on BCP council before making these changes	Disagree	Throop & Holdenhurst	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
K521	Bournemouth Town	Scrap it	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
K522	Bournemouth Town	See my comments on Poole Town Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
K523	Bournemouth Town	Should be put to a public referendum and vote once proper costings have been made known to residents.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
K524	Bournemouth Town	Sounds like a money wasting exercise. If it ain't broke, don't fix it!	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
K525	Bournemouth Town	Stop the war on motorists by closing and selling off car parks we are supposed to be encouraging Holiday makers to spend money in the local area but unfortunately we have a few extreme Eco warrior counsellors who are destroying the local area. We need to encourage Holiday makers which is great for the local economy and businesses And scrapping. The air festival is another big blow to the local residence. This is why I believe we do not need more. Counsellor is taking the money away from very important events	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
K526	Bournemouth Town	Stop wasting money on all this.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K527	Bournemouth Town	<p>The current 'consultation' is non-binding with the final decision being vested with the councillors, many of whom have already publicly supported the proposals. That support is neither independent or legitimate. It is clearly biased toward party political policy.</p> <p>Residents have been informed the 'consultation' is being reviewed internally without independent oversight and calls into question serious concerns about transparency. It seems clear the party with a majority has already decided Community Governance will be introduced whether it is supported by a majority of residents or not. That is undemocratic and begs the question why hold a 'consultation'?</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
K528	Bournemouth Town	<p>The decline of Bournemouth is a disgrace, thanks to BCP. Fire everyone!</p> <p>Drugs, knife crime, sexual abuse, dirty streets, abusive youths / arsonists, ASB, locals scared to go in to town; nothing to be proud of. Abolish BCP, return to 3 separate councils.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
K529	Bournemouth Town	The draft recommendation fail to explain any benefit whatsoever to the residents, workers or visitors to the area, just duplication and additional bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
K530	Bournemouth Town	<p>The existing infadstructure of BCP council and Trustee chater shoud be enough.</p> <p>If a change ois required that the town council area should be much smaller</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K531	Bournemouth Town	<p>The proposed numbers of seats to the elector ratio and variances within the proposed Bournemouth Town council is unfair, unequal between wards and undemocratic.</p> <p>The same comment applies equally to the proposals when comparing the proposals for Poole new Council parish wards and also in relation to the other proposed new parishes. We do not need another extra level or bureaucracy. Please do not implement the proposed chances anywhere in BCP.</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K532	Bournemouth Town	This is the last thing residents of central Bournemouth want. What they desire is for BCP to deliver the services they require well, street cleaning, tackling anti social behaviour, removing graffiti and bring Bournemouth back to a town we can all be proud of again that residents of the whole area want to visit again.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
K533	Bournemouth Town	<p>This particular submission is the most undemocratic of all the submissions for Bournemouth wards in this CGR. As stated above the electorate have not asked for a Bournemouth Town Council. The only evidence so far is a lack of demand across Bournemouth apart from a handful of submissions and representations.</p> <p>“There were no specific submissions proposing a new Town Council for Bournemouth as set out in this document, although one submission (response no. 38) did suggest a whole of Bournemouth Town Council and not individual smaller councils. There were a number of representations received from councillors that a Town Council for the remainder of Bournemouth should be not established.”</p> <p>Since none of the electorate apart from BCP Councillors why would an additional layer of local government be included.</p> <p>Since all votes should be given equal weight should 5 submissions be in favour of this Bournemouth Town Council and this rejection be the only submission against. The theoretically a Bournemouth Town Council would come in to being though no one asked for one and not enough people said “we don’t want a Bournemouth Town Council”</p> <p>Community Councils are:</p> <p>Nothing with a lack of demand, and may well lead to elitism and insular practice</p> <p>Divisive: Drawing lines between communities does not assist working together in harmony. With different precepts (Council Tax) between areas are inevitably going to lead to different priorities and different levels of provision. This can result in a visible ‘step change’ in environment and amenity between one street in one Council and another nearby in a second Council</p> <p>Inefficient: No economy of scale and an unequal delivery of services. Service provided may abruptly change between one part of a street and another, or not be available in an area at all. Without a centralised reporting structure there will not be a capture and prioritisation order of schedule or provision.</p> <p>Unnecessary: An additional layer of bureaucracy will lead to complications on who is responsible for what Service provision for residents to raise issues. Additional elections and an ever decreasing level of turnout to lower down the level of Councillor. There is nothing that a Parish Councillor can achieve that a BCP Councillor can already provide.</p> <p>Expensive: Community Councils don’t need to charge a precept, but little can be done without them. In addition to the cost of conducting further elections the parish councils need to pay staff to ensure they conduct themselves within legalisation and regulations. In addition to running and minuting meeting there is the cost of the hire of facilities to conduct necessary meetings. If not hiring staff to carry out tasks then payment to suppliers and contractors must done and all the associated administration that comes with it.</p>	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K534	Bournemouth Town	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
K535	Bournemouth Town	This will create an unfair divide as some areas - eg Southbourne/Boscombe would have small parish councils looking out for their very specific interests yet Springbourne and Littledown for example will be battling to be heard amongst the 38 councillors all trying to get the best outcomes for their wards.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
K536	Bournemouth Town	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
K537	Bournemouth Town	Waste of Money, work should be covered under by BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
K538	Bournemouth Town	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
K539	Bournemouth Town	We already have councillors, why do we need more?????	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K540	Bournemouth Town	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
K541	Bournemouth Town	Work smarter with what you have already changed. Stop changing for change sake. Working as one larger council is the best way forward with ever merging districts.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
K542	Bournemouth Town	Yes how can it possibly be recommended if its "Impossible to say what the costs are" the ONLY option is to reject thr proposal.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 7
K543	Bournemouth Town	Yes, pause the consultation which has been heavily criticised as being weighted towards the answers the Adminstration want. Hold public meetings, consider a referendum. Pause any decisions until residents are fully informed in an unbiased way.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 1
K544	Bournemouth Town	Yes. Bournemouth does not need another council - it needs better representation and support within the existing BCP structure. The Charter Trustees already protect our civic traditions. If there's concern about local identity or engagement, these issues can be addressed through targeted community investment, area forums, or empowering ward councillors with local budgets - not by adding an entirely new bureaucracy. Rather than layering on more government, BCP should focus on making what we already have work better. The establishment of a Bournemouth Town Council is a regressive and costly step that the public has not asked for and does not need.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
K545	Bournemouth Town	You state that if Poole doesn't go ahead then Bournemouth would need to include the Poole areas for full coverage. But, what about the other areas proposed like Boscombe, Southbourne and so on? What if they don't go ahead - will everyone except Christchurch then be called Bournemouth? What would be the point???	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K546	Bournemouth Town	Give us back three independent town councils if you want to subdivide.	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH17 7
K547	Bournemouth Town	<p>1. The proposal to divide TB1 and TB5 between the proposed Poole and Bournemouth parishes raises concerns. There would be electors voting for different parish areas. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex.</p> <p>This could be resolved by altering the boundary between the polling districts to make this coterminous with the proposed parish boundary.</p> <p>2. The proposal to include part of WB4 in the proposed Poole parish council raises concerns. There would be electors voting for different parish areas and different BCP Council wards. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex.</p> <p>Whilst this could be resolved by altering the boundary between WB4 and TB6, we would question whether that would be appropriate considering the community identity of electors in that area.</p> <p>If it is considered that the community identity of electors in that area does not align with TB6 rather than WB4, then that part of WB4 should not be included in the proposed parish.</p> <p>3. We believe that if either the Bournemouth or Poole Parish proposal is approved while the other is not, the boundary along the dividing line should be reviewed. This would help ensure that no properties or areas are adversely affected or left isolated due to partial implementation.</p> <p>Furthermore, if any of the smaller parish areas proposed within the Bournemouth Charter Trustees area are not approved, consideration should be given to including those areas within the approved parish to maintain continuity and avoid administrative gaps.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
K548	Bournemouth Town	Bournemouth council doesnt care about the residents of Bournemouth so nothing will change	Neither agree nor disagree	Respondent from outside BCP	respondent living outside proposal area	West Purbeck	BH20 5
K549	Bournemouth Town	Cost of new council? considering BCP was formed to reduce costs	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Q59 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Bournemouth Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
K550	Bournemouth Town	<p>The following polling districts shouldn't be included in Poole Town Council, and should instead be part of Bournemouth Town Council (if established):</p> <p>BM6 - Bearwood & Merley 6 BM7 - Bearwood & Merley 7 (excluding BM7-A which is in Poole) CC3-A - Canford Cliffs 3A TB1 - Talbot & Branksome Woods 1 (part)</p> <p>All of TB5 - Talbot & Branksome Woods 5, not just a part, should be in the Bourne Valley and Branksome East ward of Poole Town Council. None of it is in Bournemouth.</p> <p>WB1-A - Westbourne & West Cliff 1A should also be included in the Bourne Valley and Branksome East ward of Poole Town Council, as it is in Poole.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8